EMAp - Summer School On Data Science - 27/02/23

## From Algebraic Topology <br> to Data Analysis

# Part II/III: Homological inference 

https://raphaeltinarrage.github.io

# Part I/III: Topological invariants 

Thursday 26, 9~11am
Part II/III: Homological inference Friday 27, 9~11am

## Part III/III: Persistent Homology

Friday 27, 3~5pm

## Lembrete de ontem

Some datasets contain topology


Invariants of homotopy classes allow to describe and understand topological spaces

Number of connected components Euler characteristic $\chi$
Betti numbers $\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots$

|  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Cardápio

Today we will define a powerful invariant, homology groups, that already contains the number of connected components, and the Euler characteristic.
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## Cardápio

Today we will define a powerful invariant, homology groups, that already contains the number of connected components, and the Euler characteristic.


I - Simplicial homology
1 - Reminder of algebra
2 - Homological algebra
3 - Incremental algorithm
II - More about homology
1 - Topology of simplicial complexes
2 - Singular homology
3 - Functoriality
III - Homological inference
1 - Thickening parameter selection
2 - Čech complex
3 - Rips complex

## O grupo $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$

The group $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ can be seen as the set $\{0,1\}$ with the operation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0+0=0 \\
& 0+1=1 \\
& 1+0=1 \\
& 1+1=0
\end{aligned}
$$

For any $n \geq 1$, the product group $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{n}$ is the group whose underlying set is

$$
(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{n}=\left\{\left(\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{n}\right), \epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{n} \in \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

and whose operation is defined as

$$
\left(\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{n}\right)+\left(\epsilon_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \epsilon_{n}^{\prime}\right)=\left(\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \epsilon_{n}+\epsilon_{n}^{\prime}\right)
$$

The group $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ can be given a field structure

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \times 0=0 \\
& 0 \times 1=0 \\
& 1 \times 0=0 \\
& 1 \times 1=1
\end{aligned}
$$

and $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{n}$ can be seen as a $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-vector space over the field $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$.

## Espaços vetoriais sobre $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$

Definition: A vector space over $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ is a set $V$ endowed with two operations

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
V \times V & \longrightarrow V & \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \times V & \longrightarrow V \\
(u, v) & \longmapsto u+v & (\lambda, v) & \longmapsto \lambda \cdot v
\end{array}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { (associativity) } \forall u, v, w \in V, \quad(u+v)+w=u+(v+w), \\
\text { (identity) } \exists 0 \in V, \forall v \in V, \quad v+0=0+v=v, \\
\text { (inverse) } \forall v \in V, \exists w \in V, u+v=v+u=0, \\
\text { (commutativity) } \forall u, v \in V, u+v=v+u,
\end{gathered}
$$

(compatibility of multiplication) $\forall \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}, \forall v \in V, \lambda \cdot(\mu \cdot v)=(\lambda \times \mu) \cdot v$,
(scalar identity) $\forall v \in V, 1 \cdot v=v$,
(scalar distributivity) $\forall \mu, \nu \in \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}, \forall v \in V,(\lambda+\nu) \cdot v=\lambda \cdot v+\nu \cdot v$,
(vector distributivity) $\forall \mu \in \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}, \forall v, w \in V, \lambda \cdot(u+v)=\lambda \cdot v+\nu \cdot v$.
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Proposition: Le $(V,+)$ be a commutative group.
It can be given a $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-vector space structure iff $\forall v \in V, v+v=0$.
Proposition: Let $(V,+, \cdot)$ be a finite $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-vector space. Then there exists $n \geq 0$ such that $V$ has cardinal $2^{n}$, and $(V,+, \cdot)$ is isomorphic to the vector space $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{n}$.

Proof: Consequence of the theory of vector spaces.

## Espaços vetoriais sobre $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$

A linear subspace of $(V,+, \cdot)$ is a subset $W \subset V$ such that

$$
\forall u, v \in W, u+v \in W \quad \text { and } \quad \forall v \in W, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}, \lambda v \in W
$$

We define the following equivalence relation on $V$ : for all $u, v \in V$,

$$
u \sim v \Longleftrightarrow u-v \in W
$$

Denote by $V / W$ the quotient set of $V$ under this relation. For any $v \in V$, one shows that the equivalence class of $v$ is equal to $v+W=\{v+w \mid w \in W\}$.

One defines a group structure $\oplus$ on $V / W$ as follows:

$$
(u+W) \oplus\left(u^{\prime}+W\right)=\left(u+u^{\prime}\right)+W
$$

Definition: The vector space $(V / W, \oplus, \cdot)$ is called the quotient vector space.

Proposition: We have $\operatorname{dim} V / W=\operatorname{dim} V-\operatorname{dim} W$.
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## Cadeias

Definition (reminder): Let $V$ be a set (called the set of vertices). A simplicial complex over $V$ is a set $K$ of subsets of $V$ (called the simplices) such that, for every $\sigma \in K$ and every non-empty $\tau \subset \sigma$, we have $\tau \in K$.
The dimension of a simplex $\sigma \in K$ is $\operatorname{dim}(\sigma)=|\sigma|-1$.


Let $K$ be a simplicial complex. For any $n \geq 0$, define

$$
K_{(n)}=\{\sigma \in K \mid \operatorname{dim}(\sigma)=n\} .
$$
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Let $n \geq 0$. The $n$-chains of $K$ is the set $C_{n}(K)$ whose elements are the formal sums
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\sum_{\sigma \in K_{(n)}} \epsilon_{\sigma} \cdot \sigma \quad \text { where } \quad \forall \sigma \in K_{(n)}, \quad \epsilon_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}
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Example: The 0 -chains of $K=\{[0],[1],[2],[0,1],[0,2]\}$ are:
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\sum_{\sigma \in K_{(n)}} \epsilon_{\sigma} \cdot \sigma \quad \text { where } \quad \forall \sigma \in K_{(n)}, \quad \epsilon_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}
$$

We can give $C_{n}(K)$ a group structure via

$$
\sum_{\sigma \in K_{(n)}} \epsilon_{\sigma} \cdot \sigma+\sum_{\sigma \in K_{(n)}} \eta_{\sigma} \cdot \sigma=\sum_{\sigma \in K_{(n)}}\left(\epsilon_{\sigma}+\eta_{\sigma}\right) \cdot \sigma
$$

Moreover, $C_{n}(K)$ can be given a $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-vector space structure.
Example: The 0 -chains of $K=\{[0],[1],[2],[0,1],[0,2]\}$ are:
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$[0]+[1]$

$[1]+[2][0]+[1]+[2]$
and the 1-chains
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$[0,1]+[0,2]$

## Cadeias

Let $n \geq 0$. The $n$-chains of $K$ is the set $C_{n}(K)$ whose elements are the formal sums

$$
\sum_{\sigma \in K_{(n)}} \epsilon_{\sigma} \cdot \sigma \quad \text { where } \quad \forall \sigma \in K_{(n)}, \epsilon_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}
$$

We can give $C_{n}(K)$ a group structure via

$$
\sum_{\sigma \in K_{(n)}} \epsilon_{\sigma} \cdot \sigma+\sum_{\sigma \in K_{(n)}} \eta_{\sigma} \cdot \sigma=\sum_{\sigma \in K_{(n)}}\left(\epsilon_{\sigma}+\eta_{\sigma}\right) \cdot \sigma
$$

Moreover, $C_{n}(K)$ can be given a $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-vector space structure.

Example: In the simplicial complex $K=\{[0],[1],[2],[0,1],[0,2]\}$, the sum of the 0 -chains $[0]+[1]$ and $[0]+[2]$ is $[1]+[2]$ :

$$
([0]+[1])+([0]+[2])=[0]+[0]+[1]+[2]=[1]+[2] .
$$



## Operador bordo

Let $n \geq 1$, and $\sigma=\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] \in K_{(n)}$ a simplex of dimension $n$. We define its boundary as the following element of $C_{n-1}(K)$ :

$$
\partial_{n} \sigma=\sum_{\substack{\tau \subset \sigma \\|\tau|=|\sigma|-1}} \tau
$$

We can extend the operator $\partial_{n}$ as a linear map $\partial_{n}: C_{n}(K) \rightarrow C_{n-1}(K)$.

Example: Consider the simplicial complex

$$
K=\{[0],[1],[2],[3],[0,1],[0,2],[1,2],[1,3],[2,3],[0,1,2]\} .
$$

The simplex $[0,1]$ has the faces $[0]$ and [1]. Hence

$$
\partial_{1}[0,1]=[0]+[1] .
$$



## Operador bordo

Let $n \geq 1$, and $\sigma=\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] \in K_{(n)}$ a simplex of dimension $n$. We define its boundary as the following element of $C_{n-1}(K)$ :

$$
\partial_{n} \sigma=\sum_{\substack{\tau \subset \sigma \\|\tau|=|\sigma|-1}} \tau
$$

We can extend the operator $\partial_{n}$ as a linear map $\partial_{n}: C_{n}(K) \rightarrow C_{n-1}(K)$.

Example: Consider the simplicial complex

$$
K=\{[0],[1],[2],[3],[0,1],[0,2],[1,2],[1,3],[2,3],[0,1,2]\} .
$$

The boundary of the 1 -chain $[0,1]+[1,2]+[2,0]$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{1}([0,1]+[1,2]+[2,0]) & =\partial_{1}[0,1]+\partial_{1}[1,2]+\partial_{1}[2,0] \\
& =[0]+[1]+[1]+[2]+[2]+[0]=0
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$

We can extend the operator $\partial_{n}$ as a linear map $\partial_{n}: C_{n}(K) \rightarrow C_{n-1}(K)$.

Example: Consider the simplicial complex
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K=\{[0],[1],[2],[3],[0,1],[0,2],[1,2],[1,3],[2,3],[0,1,2]\} .
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The simplex $[0,1,2]$ has the faces $[0,1]$ and $[1,2]$ and $[2,0]$. Hence
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$$
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## Operador bordo

Proposition: For any $n \geq 1$, for any $c \in C_{n}(K)$, we have $\partial_{n-1} \circ \partial_{n}(c)=0$.
Proof: Suppose that $n \geq 2$, the result being trivial otherwise.
Since the boundary operators are linear, it is enough to prove that $\partial_{n-1} \circ \partial_{n}(\sigma)=0$ for all simplex $\sigma \in K_{(n)}$.
By definition, $\partial_{n}(\sigma)=\sum_{\substack{\tau|=|\sigma|-1}}^{\tau \subset \sigma} \tau$, and

$$
\partial_{n-1} \circ \partial_{n}(\sigma)=\sum_{\substack{\tau \subset \sigma \\|\tau|=|\sigma|-1}} \partial_{n-1}(\tau)=\sum_{\substack{\tau \subset \sigma \\|\tau|=|\sigma|-1}} \sum_{\substack{\nu \subset \tau \\|\nu|=|\tau|-1}} \nu
$$

We can write this last sum as

$$
\sum_{\substack{\tau \subset \sigma \\|\tau|=|\sigma|-1}} \sum_{\substack{\nu \subset \tau \\|\nu|=|\tau|-1}} \nu=\sum_{\substack{\nu \subset \sigma \\|\nu|=|\sigma|-2}} \alpha_{\nu} \nu
$$

where $\alpha_{\nu}=\{\tau \subset \sigma| | \tau|=|\sigma|-1, \nu \subset \tau\}$.
It is easy to see that for every $\nu$ such that $\operatorname{dim} \nu=\operatorname{dim} \tau-2$, we have $\alpha_{\nu}=2=0$.


## Ciclos e bordos

Let $n \geq 0$. We have a sequence of vector spaces

$$
\cdots \longrightarrow C_{n+1}(K) \xrightarrow{\partial n+1} C_{n}(K) \xrightarrow{\partial n} C_{n-1}(K) \longrightarrow \ldots
$$

The maps $\partial_{n+1}$ and $\partial_{n}$ are linear maps, and we can consider their kernel and image.

## Definition: We define:

- The $n$-cycles:

$$
Z_{n}(K)=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\partial_{n}\right)=\left\{c \in C_{n}(K) \mid \partial_{n}(c)=0\right\}
$$

- The $n$-boundaries: $B_{n}(K)=\operatorname{Im}\left(\partial_{n+1}\right)=\left\{\partial_{n+1}(c) \mid c \in C_{n+1}(K)\right\}$.

Example: Consider the simplicial complex
The 1-cycles are:



0

$[0,2]+[2,3]+[0,3]$

$0,1]+[1,2]+[2,3]+[0,3]$.

The 1-boundaries are:


0

$[0,1]+[1,2]+[0,2]$
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- The $n$-boundaries: $B_{n}(K)=\operatorname{Im}\left(\partial_{n+1}\right)=\left\{\partial_{n+1}(c) \mid c \in C_{n+1}(K)\right\}$.

Proposition: We have $B_{n}(K) \subset Z_{n}(K)$.

Example: Consider the simplicial complex
The 1-cycles are:



0
$[0,2]+[2,3]+[0,3]$


$0,1]+[1,2]+[2,3]+[0,3]$.

The 1-boundaries are:


0

$[0,1]+[1,2]+[0,2]$

## Ciclos e bordos

Let $n \geq 0$. We have a sequence of vector spaces

$$
\cdots \longrightarrow C_{n+1}(K) \xrightarrow{\partial n+1} C_{n}(K) \xrightarrow{\partial n} C_{n-1}(K) \longrightarrow \ldots
$$

The maps $\partial_{n+1}$ and $\partial_{n}$ are linear maps, and we can consider their kernel and image.
Definition: We define:

- The $n$-cycles: $\quad Z_{n}(K)=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\partial_{n}\right)=\left\{c \in C_{n}(K) \mid \partial_{n}(c)=0\right\}$,
- The $n$-boundaries: $B_{n}(K)=\operatorname{Im}\left(\partial_{n+1}\right)=\left\{\partial_{n+1}(c) \mid c \in C_{n+1}(K)\right\}$.

Proposition: We have $B_{n}(K) \subset Z_{n}(K)$.
Definition: We say that two chains $c, c^{\prime} \in C_{n}(K)$ are homologous if there exists $b \in B_{n}(K)$ such that $c=c^{\prime}+b$.
$\longrightarrow$ interpretation: two cycles are homologous if they represent the same 'hole'

## Example:



$$
[0,2]+[2,3]+[0,3]=[0,1]+[1,2]+[2,3]+[0,3]+[0,1]+[0,2]+[1,2] .
$$

## Ciclos e bordos

Let $n \geq 0$. We have a sequence of vector spaces

$$
\cdots \longrightarrow C_{n+1}(K) \xrightarrow{\partial n+1} C_{n}(K) \xrightarrow{\partial n} C_{n-1}(K) \longrightarrow \ldots
$$

The maps $\partial_{n+1}$ and $\partial_{n}$ are linear maps, and we can consider their kernel and image.
Definition: We define:

- The $n$-cycles: $\quad Z_{n}(K)=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\partial_{n}\right)=\left\{c \in C_{n}(K) \mid \partial_{n}(c)=0\right\}$,
- The $n$-boundaries: $B_{n}(K)=\operatorname{Im}\left(\partial_{n+1}\right)=\left\{\partial_{n+1}(c) \mid c \in C_{n+1}(K)\right\}$.

Proposition: We have $B_{n}(K) \subset Z_{n}(K)$.

Proof: Let $b \in B_{n}(K)$ be a boundary. By definition, there exists $c \in C_{n+1}(K)$ such that $b=\partial_{n+1}(c)$. Using $\partial_{n} \partial_{n+1}=0$, we get

$$
\partial_{n}(b)=\partial_{n} \partial_{n+1}(c)=0,
$$

hence $b \in Z_{n}(K)$.

## Grupos de homologia

We have defined a sequence of vector spaces, connected by linear maps

$$
\cdots \longrightarrow C_{n+1}(K) \longrightarrow C_{n}(K) \longrightarrow C_{n-1}(K) \longrightarrow \cdots
$$

and for every $n \geq 0$, we have defined the cycles and the boundaries $Z_{n}(K)$ and $B_{n}(K)$.
Since $B_{n}(K) \subset Z_{n}(K)$, we can see $B_{n}(K)$ as a linear subspace of $Z_{n}(K)$.
Definition: The $n^{\text {th }}$ (simplicial) homology group of $K$ is the quotient vector space

$$
H_{n}(K)=Z_{n}(K) / B_{n}(K)
$$
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Definition: The $n^{\text {th }}$ (simplicial) homology group of $K$ is the quotient vector space
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H_{n}(K)=Z_{n}(K) / B_{n}(K)
$$

Remark: A finite $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-vector space must be isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{k}$ for some $k$.

Definition: Let $K$ be a simplicial complex and $n \geq 0$. Its $n^{\text {th }}$ Betti number is the integer $\beta_{n}(K)=\operatorname{dim} H_{n}(K)$.

$$
H_{n}(K)=(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{k} \longrightarrow \beta_{n}(K)=k
$$

## Grupos de homologia

We have defined a sequence of vector spaces, connected by linear maps

$$
\cdots \longrightarrow C_{n+1}(K) \longrightarrow C_{n}(K) \longrightarrow C_{n-1}(K) \longrightarrow \cdots
$$

and for every $n \geq 0$, we have defined the cycles and the boundaries $Z_{n}(K)$ and $B_{n}(K)$.
Since $B_{n}(K) \subset Z_{n}(K)$, we can see $B_{n}(K)$ as a linear subspace of $Z_{n}(K)$.
Definition: The $n^{\text {th }}$ (simplicial) homology group of $K$ is the quotient vector space

$$
H_{n}(K)=Z_{n}(K) / B_{n}(K)
$$

Remark: A finite $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$-vector space must be isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{k}$ for some $k$.

Definition: Let $K$ be a simplicial complex and $n \geq 0$. Its $n^{\text {th }}$ Betti number is the integer $\beta_{n}(K)=\operatorname{dim} H_{n}(K)$.

Example:


$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{0}(K)=\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \\
& H_{1}(K)=\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \\
& H_{2}(K)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\longrightarrow & \beta_{0}(K)=1 \\
\longrightarrow & \beta_{1}(K)=1 \\
\longrightarrow & \beta_{2}(K)=0
\end{array}
$$

Grupos de homologia
12/44 (4/4)

|  |  | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $H_{0}(X)$ | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |
| $\beta_{0}(X)$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$ | $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{2}$ |
| $H_{1}(X)$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| $\beta_{1}(X)$ | 0 | 1 | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $H_{2}(X)$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $\beta_{2}(X)$ | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |

## I - Simplicial homology

1 - Reminder of algebra
2 - Homological algebra
3 - Incremental algorithm
II - More about homology
1 - Topology of simplicial complexes
2 - Singular homology
3 - Functoriality
III - Homological inference
1 - Thickening parameter selection
2 - Čech complex
3 - Rips complex

## Ordenar o complexo simplicial

Let $K$ be a simplicial complex with $n$ simplices. Choose a total order of the simplices

$$
\sigma^{1}<\sigma^{2}<\ldots<\sigma^{n}
$$

such that

$$
\forall \sigma, \tau \in K, \tau \subsetneq \sigma \Longrightarrow \tau<\sigma
$$

In other words, a face of a simplex is lower than the simplex itself.
For every $i \leq n$, consider the simplicial complex

$$
K^{i}=\left\{\sigma^{1}, \ldots, \sigma^{i}\right\}
$$

We have $\forall i \leq n, K^{i+1}=K^{i} \cup\left\{\sigma^{i+1}\right\}$, and $K^{n}=K$. They form an inscreasing sequence of simplicial complexes

$$
K^{1} \subset K^{2} \subset \ldots \subset K^{n}
$$



## Positividade dos simplexos



Let $k \geq 0$. We will compute the homology groups of $K^{i}$ incrementally: $H_{k}\left(K^{1}\right), H_{k}\left(K^{2}\right), H_{k}\left(K^{3}\right), H_{k}\left(K^{4}\right), H_{k}\left(K^{5}\right), H_{k}\left(K^{6}\right), H_{k}\left(K^{7}\right), H_{k}\left(K^{8}\right), H_{k}\left(K^{9}\right), H_{k}\left(K^{10}\right)$

## Positividade dos simplexos



Let $k \geq 0$. We will compute the homology groups of $K^{i}$ incrementally: $H_{k}\left(K^{1}\right), H_{k}\left(K^{2}\right), H_{k}\left(K^{3}\right), H_{k}\left(K^{4}\right), H_{k}\left(K^{5}\right), H_{k}\left(K^{6}\right), H_{k}\left(K^{7}\right), H_{k}\left(K^{8}\right), H_{k}\left(K^{9}\right), H_{k}\left(K^{10}\right)$

Definition: Let $i \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$, and $d=\operatorname{dim}\left(\sigma^{i}\right)$. Recall that $K^{i}=K^{i-1} \cup\left\{\sigma_{i}\right\}$.
The simplex $\sigma^{i}$ is positive if there exists a cycle $c \in Z_{d}\left(K^{i}\right)$ that contains $\sigma^{i}$.
In other words, there exist $c=\sum_{\sigma \in K_{(n)}^{i}} \epsilon_{\sigma} \cdot \sigma \in C_{n}\left(K^{i}\right)$ such that $\epsilon_{\sigma^{i}}=1$ and
$\partial_{n}(c)=0$. Otherwise, $\sigma^{i}$ is negative.

## Example:

- $\sigma^{1} \in K^{1}$ is positive because it is included in the cycle $c=\sigma^{1}$ (indeed, $\partial_{0}\left(\sigma^{1}\right)=0$ ).
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Let $k \geq 0$. We will compute the homology groups of $K^{i}$ incrementally: $H_{k}\left(K^{1}\right), H_{k}\left(K^{2}\right), H_{k}\left(K^{3}\right), H_{k}\left(K^{4}\right), H_{k}\left(K^{5}\right), H_{k}\left(K^{6}\right), H_{k}\left(K^{7}\right), H_{k}\left(K^{8}\right), H_{k}\left(K^{9}\right), H_{k}\left(K^{10}\right)$

Definition: Let $i \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$, and $d=\operatorname{dim}\left(\sigma^{i}\right)$. Recall that $K^{i}=K^{i-1} \cup\left\{\sigma_{i}\right\}$.
The simplex $\sigma^{i}$ is positive if there exists a cycle $c \in Z_{d}\left(K^{i}\right)$ that contains $\sigma^{i}$. In other words, there exist $c=\sum_{\sigma \in K_{(n)}^{i}} \epsilon_{\sigma} \cdot \sigma \in C_{n}\left(K^{i}\right)$ such that $\epsilon_{\sigma^{i}}=1$ and $\partial_{n}(c)=0$. Otherwise, $\sigma^{i}$ is negative.

## Example:

- $\sigma^{1} \in K^{1}$ is positive because it is included in the cycle $c=\sigma^{1}$ (indeed, $\partial_{0}\left(\sigma^{1}\right)=0$ ).
- $\sigma^{2} \in K^{2}$ is positive because it is included in the cycle $c=\sigma^{2}$ (indeed, $\partial_{0}\left(\sigma^{2}\right)=0$ ).


## Positividade dos simplexos



Let $k \geq 0$. We will compute the homology groups of $K^{i}$ incrementally: $H_{k}\left(K^{1}\right), H_{k}\left(K^{2}\right), H_{k}\left(K^{3}\right), H_{k}\left(K^{4}\right), H_{k}\left(K^{5}\right), H_{k}\left(K^{6}\right), H_{k}\left(K^{7}\right), H_{k}\left(K^{8}\right), H_{k}\left(K^{9}\right), H_{k}\left(K^{10}\right)$

Definition: Let $i \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$, and $d=\operatorname{dim}\left(\sigma^{i}\right)$. Recall that $K^{i}=K^{i-1} \cup\left\{\sigma_{i}\right\}$.
The simplex $\sigma^{i}$ is positive if there exists a cycle $c \in Z_{d}\left(K^{i}\right)$ that contains $\sigma^{i}$. In other words, there exist $c=\sum_{\sigma \in K_{(n)}^{i}} \epsilon_{\sigma} \cdot \sigma \in C_{n}\left(K^{i}\right)$ such that $\epsilon_{\sigma^{i}}=1$ and $\partial_{n}(c)=0$. Otherwise, $\sigma^{i}$ is negative.

## Example:

- $\sigma^{1} \in K^{1}$ is positive because it is included in the cycle $c=\sigma^{1}$ (indeed, $\partial_{0}\left(\sigma^{1}\right)=0$ ).
- $\sigma^{2} \in K^{2}$ is positive because it is included in the cycle $c=\sigma^{2}$ (indeed, $\partial_{0}\left(\sigma^{2}\right)=0$ ).
- $\sigma^{6} \in K^{5}$ is negative because it is not included in a cycle $Z_{1}\left(K^{5}\right)$. Indeed, $C_{1}\left(K^{5}\right)$ only contains 0 and $\sigma_{5}$, and $\partial_{1}\left(\sigma^{5}\right)=\sigma^{1}+\sigma^{2} \neq 0$.


## Positividade dos simplexos



Let $k \geq 0$. We will compute the homology groups of $K^{i}$ incrementally: $H_{k}\left(K^{1}\right), H_{k}\left(K^{2}\right), H_{k}\left(K^{3}\right), H_{k}\left(K^{4}\right), H_{k}\left(K^{5}\right), H_{k}\left(K^{6}\right), H_{k}\left(K^{7}\right), H_{k}\left(K^{8}\right), H_{k}\left(K^{9}\right), H_{k}\left(K^{10}\right)$

Definition: Let $i \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$, and $d=\operatorname{dim}\left(\sigma^{i}\right)$. Recall that $K^{i}=K^{i-1} \cup\left\{\sigma_{i}\right\}$.
The simplex $\sigma^{i}$ is positive if there exists a cycle $c \in Z_{d}\left(K^{i}\right)$ that contains $\sigma^{i}$. In other words, there exist $c=\sum_{\sigma \in K_{(n)}^{i}} \epsilon_{\sigma} \cdot \sigma \in C_{n}\left(K^{i}\right)$ such that $\epsilon_{\sigma^{i}}=1$ and $\partial_{n}(c)=0$. Otherwise, $\sigma^{i}$ is negative.

## Example:

- $\sigma^{1} \in K^{1}$ is positive because it is included in the cycle $c=\sigma^{1}$ (indeed, $\partial_{0}\left(\sigma^{1}\right)=0$ ).
- $\sigma^{2} \in K^{2}$ is positive because it is included in the cycle $c=\sigma^{2}$ (indeed, $\partial_{0}\left(\sigma^{2}\right)=0$ ).
- $\sigma^{6} \in K^{5}$ is negative because it is not included in a cycle $Z_{1}\left(K^{5}\right)$. Indeed, $C_{1}\left(K^{5}\right)$ only contains 0 and $\sigma_{5}$, and $\partial_{1}\left(\sigma^{5}\right)=\sigma^{1}+\sigma^{2} \neq 0$.
- $\sigma^{8} \in K^{8}$ is positive because it is included in the cycle $c=\sigma^{5}+\sigma^{6}+\sigma^{7}+\sigma^{8}$ (indeed, $\partial_{1}(c)=2 \sigma^{1}+2 \sigma^{2}+2 \sigma^{3}+2 \sigma^{4}=0$ ).


## Positividade dos simplexos

Definition: Let $i \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$, and $d=\operatorname{dim}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)$. Recall that $K^{i}=K^{i-1} \cup\left\{\sigma_{i}\right\}$. The simplex $\sigma_{i}$ is positive if there exists a cycle $c \in Z_{d}\left(K^{i}\right)$ that contains $\sigma_{i}$. Otherwise, $\sigma_{i}$ is negative.

Remark: By adding $\sigma^{i}$ in the simplicial complex, the only groups that may change are $Z_{d}\left(K^{i}\right)$ and $B_{d-1}\left(K^{i}\right)$.

## Positividade dos simplexos

Definition: Let $i \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$, and $d=\operatorname{dim}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)$. Recall that $K^{i}=K^{i-1} \cup\left\{\sigma_{i}\right\}$.
The simplex $\sigma_{i}$ is positive if there exists a cycle $c \in Z_{d}\left(K^{i}\right)$ that contains $\sigma_{i}$. Otherwise, $\sigma_{i}$ is negative.

Remark: By adding $\sigma^{i}$ in the simplicial complex, the only groups that may change are $Z_{d}\left(K^{i}\right)$ and $B_{d-1}\left(K^{i}\right)$.

Lemma: If $\sigma^{i}$ is positive, then $\beta_{d}\left(K^{i}\right)=\beta_{d}\left(K^{i-1}\right)+1$, and for all $d^{\prime} \neq d, \beta_{d^{\prime}}\left(K^{i}\right)=\beta_{d^{\prime}}\left(K^{i-1}\right)$.

Proof: We start by proving the following fact: if $c \in Z_{d}\left(K^{i}\right)$ is a cycle that contains $\sigma_{i}$, then $c$ is not homologous (in $K^{i}$ ) to a cycle of $c^{\prime} \in Z_{d}\left(K^{i-1}\right)$.

By contradiction: if $c=c^{\prime}+b$ with $c^{\prime} \in Z_{d}\left(K^{i-1}\right)$ and $b \in B_{d}\left(K^{i}\right)$, then $c-c^{\prime}=b \in B_{d}\left(K^{i}\right)$. This is absurd because we just added $\sigma_{i}$ : it cannot appear in a boundary of $K^{i}$.
As a consequence, $\operatorname{dim} Z_{d}\left(K^{i}\right)=\operatorname{dim} Z_{d}\left(K^{i-1}\right)+1$.
We conclude by using the relation $\beta_{d}\left(K^{i}\right)=\operatorname{dim} Z_{d}\left(K^{i}\right)-\operatorname{dim} B_{d}\left(K^{i}\right)$.

## Positividade dos simplexos

Definition: Let $i \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$, and $d=\operatorname{dim}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)$. Recall that $K^{i}=K^{i-1} \cup\left\{\sigma_{i}\right\}$.
The simplex $\sigma_{i}$ is positive if there exists a cycle $c \in Z_{d}\left(K^{i}\right)$ that contains $\sigma_{i}$. Otherwise, $\sigma_{i}$ is negative.

Remark: By adding $\sigma^{i}$ in the simplicial complex, the only groups that may change are $Z_{d}\left(K^{i}\right)$ and $B_{d-1}\left(K^{i}\right)$.

Lemma: If $\sigma^{i}$ is positive, then $\beta_{d}\left(K^{i}\right)=\beta_{d}\left(K^{i-1}\right)+1$, and for all $d^{\prime} \neq d, \beta_{d^{\prime}}\left(K^{i}\right)=\beta_{d^{\prime}}\left(K^{i-1}\right)$.

Lemma: If $\sigma^{i}$ is negative, then $\beta_{d-1}\left(K^{i}\right)=\beta_{d-1}\left(K^{i-1}\right)-1$, and for all $d^{\prime} \neq d-1, \beta_{d^{\prime}}\left(K^{i}\right)=\beta_{d^{\prime}}\left(K^{i-1}\right)$.

Proof: We start by proving the following fact: $\partial_{d}\left(\sigma^{i}\right)$ is not a boundary of $K^{i-1}$.
Otherwise, we would have $\partial_{d}\left(\sigma^{i}\right)=\partial_{d}(c)$ with $c \in C_{d}\left(K^{i-1}\right)$, i.e. $\partial_{d}\left(\sigma^{i}+c\right)=0$. Hence $\sigma^{i}+c$ would be a cycle of $K^{i}$ that contains $c$, contradicting the negativity of $\sigma^{i}$.

As a consequence, $\operatorname{dim} B_{d-1}\left(K^{i}\right)=\operatorname{dim} B_{d-1}\left(K^{i-1}\right)+1$.
We conclude by using the relation $\beta_{d-1}\left(K^{i}\right)=\operatorname{dim} Z_{d-1}\left(K^{i}\right)-\operatorname{dim} B_{d-1}\left(K^{i}\right)$.

## Algoritmo incremental

Lemma: If $\sigma^{i}$ is positive, then $\beta_{d}\left(K^{i}\right)=\beta_{d}\left(K^{i-1}\right)+1$, and for all $d^{\prime} \neq d, \beta_{d^{\prime}}\left(K^{i}\right)=\beta_{d^{\prime}}\left(K^{i-1}\right)$.

Lemma: If $\sigma^{i}$ is negative, then $\beta_{d-1}\left(K^{i}\right)=\beta_{d-1}\left(K^{i-1}\right)-1$, and for all $d^{\prime} \neq d-1, \beta_{d^{\prime}}\left(K^{i}\right)=\beta_{d^{\prime}}\left(K^{i-1}\right)$.

We deduce the following algorithm:

```
Input: an increasing sequence of simplicial complexes \(K^{1} \subset \cdots \subset K^{n}=K\)
Output: the Betti numbers \(\beta_{0}(K), \ldots \beta_{d}(K)\)
\(\beta_{0} \leftarrow 0, \ldots, \beta_{d} \leftarrow 0 ;\)
for \(i \leftarrow 1\) to \(n\) do
    \(d=\operatorname{dim}\left(\sigma^{i}\right) ;\)
    if \(\sigma^{i}\) is positive then
        \(\beta_{k}\left(K^{i}\right) \leftarrow \beta_{k}\left(K^{i}\right)+1 ;\)
    else if \(d>0\) then
        \(\beta_{k-1}\left(K^{i}\right) \leftarrow \beta_{k-1}\left(K^{i-1}\right)-1 ;\)
```


## Algoritmo incremental

|  | $K^{1}$ | $K^{2}$ | $K^{3}$ | $K^{4}$ | $K^{5}$ | $K^{6}$ | $K^{7}$ | $K^{8}$ | $K^{9}$ | K |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dimension | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Positivity | + | + | + | + | - | - | - | + | + | - |
| $\beta_{0}\left(K^{i}\right)$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\beta_{1}\left(K^{i}\right)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |

We deduce the following algorithm:

```
Input: an increasing sequence of simplicial complexes \(K^{1} \subset \cdots \subset K^{n}=K\)
Output: the Betti numbers \(\beta_{0}(K), \ldots \beta_{d}(K)\)
\(\beta_{0} \leftarrow 0, \ldots, \beta_{d} \leftarrow 0 ;\)
for \(i \leftarrow 1\) to \(n\) do
    \(d=\operatorname{dim}\left(\sigma^{i}\right) ;\)
    if \(\sigma^{i}\) is positive then
        \(\beta_{k}\left(K^{i}\right) \leftarrow \beta_{k}\left(K^{i}\right)+1 ;\)
    else if \(d>0\) then
        \(\beta_{k-1}\left(K^{i}\right) \leftarrow \beta_{k-1}\left(K^{i-1}\right)-1 ;\)
```


## Característica de Euler

Reminder: the Euler characteristic of a simplicial complex $K$ is

$$
\chi(K)=\sum_{0 \leq i \leq n}(-1)^{i} \cdot(\text { number of simplices of dimension } i) .
$$

Proposition: The Euler characteristic is also equal to

$$
\chi(K)=\sum_{0 \leq i \leq n}(-1)^{i} \cdot \beta_{i}(K)
$$

## Característica de Euler

Proposition: The Euler characteristic of $K$ is equal to

$$
\chi(K)=\sum_{0 \leq i \leq n}(-1)^{i} \cdot \beta_{i}(K) .
$$

Proof: Pick an ordering $K^{1} \subset \cdots \subset K^{n}=K$ of $K$, with $K^{i}=K^{i-1} \cup\left\{\sigma^{i}\right\}$ for all $2 \leq i \leq n$.

By induction, let us show that, for all $1 \leq m \leq n$,

$$
\sum_{0 \leq i \leq m}(-1)^{i} \cdot \beta_{i}\left(K^{m}\right)=\sum_{0 \leq i \leq m}(-1)^{i} \cdot\left(\text { number of simplices of dimension } i \text { of } K^{m}\right)
$$

For $m=1, \sigma^{m}$ is a 0 -simplex, and the equality reads $1=1$.
Now, suppose that the equality is true for $1 \leq m<n$, and consider the simplex $\sigma^{m+1}$. Let $d=\operatorname{dim} \sigma^{m+1}$. The right-hand side of the Equation is increased by $(-1)^{d}$.
If $\sigma^{m+1}$ is positive, then $\beta_{d}\left(K^{m+1}\right)=\beta_{d}\left(K^{m}\right)+1$, hence the left-hand side of the Equation is increased by $(-1)^{d}$.

Otherwise, it is negative, and $\beta_{d-1}\left(K^{m+1}\right)=\beta_{d-1}\left(K^{m}\right)-1$, hence the left-hand side of the Equation is increased by $-(-1)^{d-1}=(-1)^{d}$.

## Matriz de bordo

The only thing missing to apply the incremental algorithm is to determine whether a simplex is positive or negative.

Let $K$ be a simplicial complex, and $\sigma^{1}<\sigma^{2}<\cdots<\sigma^{n}$ and ordering of its simplices.
Define the boundary matrix of $K$, denoted $\Delta$, as follows: $\Delta$ is a $n \times n$ matrix, whose ( $i, j$ )-entry ( $i^{\text {th }}$ row, $j^{\text {th }}$ column is)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{i, j}= & 1 \text { if } \sigma^{i} \text { is a face of } \sigma^{j} \text { and }\left|\sigma^{i}\right|=\left|\sigma^{j}\right|-1 \\
& 0 \text { else. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\sigma^{1}$
$\sigma^{2}$
$\sigma^{3}$
$\sigma^{4}$
$\sigma^{5}$
$\sigma^{6}$
$\sigma^{7}$
$\sigma^{8}$
$\sigma^{9}$
$\sigma^{10}$$\left(\begin{array}{cccccccccc}\sigma^{1} & \sigma^{2} & \sigma^{3} & \sigma^{4} & \sigma^{5} & \sigma^{6} & \sigma^{7} & \sigma^{8} & \sigma^{9} & \sigma^{10} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$

## Matriz de bordo

By adding columns one to the others, we create chains. If we were able to reduce a column to zero, then we found a cycle.

$$
\partial_{1}\left(\sigma^{6}\right)=\sigma^{2}+\sigma^{3}
$$

$\qquad$

$$
\partial_{1}\left(\sigma^{5}+\sigma^{6}+\sigma^{7}+\sigma^{8}\right)=0
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{llllllllll}
\sigma^{1} & \sigma^{2} & \sigma^{3} & \sigma^{4} & \sigma^{5} & \sigma^{6} & \sigma^{7} & \sigma^{8} & \sigma^{9} & \sigma^{10}
\end{array} \\
& \left.\begin{array}{c}
\sigma^{1} \\
\sigma^{2} \\
\sigma^{3} \\
\sigma^{4} \\
\sigma^{5} \\
\sigma^{6} \\
\sigma^{7} \\
\sigma^{8} \\
\sigma^{9} \\
\sigma^{10}
\end{array} \begin{array}{ccccc|c|cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Matriz de bordo

The process of reducing columns to zero is called Gauss reduction.
For any $j \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$, define

$$
\delta(j)=\max \left\{i \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket \mid \Delta_{i, j} \neq 0\right\} .
$$

If $\Delta_{i, j}=0$ for all $j$, then $\delta(j)$ is undefined.
We say that the boundary matrix $\Delta$ is reduced if the map $\delta$ is injective on its domain of definition.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{c}
\sigma^{1} \\
\sigma^{2} \\
\sigma^{3} \\
\sigma^{4} \\
\sigma^{5} \\
\sigma^{6} \\
\sigma^{7} \\
\sigma^{8} \\
\sigma^{9} \\
\sigma^{10}
\end{array}\left(\begin{array}{llllllllll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Matriz de bordo

Algorithm 2: Reduction of the boundary matrix
Input: a boundary matrix $\Delta$
Output: a reduced matrix $\widetilde{\Delta}$
for $j \leftarrow 1$ to $n$ do
while there exists $i<j$ with $\delta(i)=\delta(j)$ do
add column $i$ to column j ;
$\sigma^{1}$
$\sigma^{2}$
$\sigma^{3}$
$\sigma^{4}$
$\sigma^{5}$
$\sigma^{6}$
$\sigma^{1}$
$\sigma^{7}$
$\sigma^{8}$
$\sigma^{2}$
$\sigma^{2}$
$\sigma^{9}$
$\sigma^{9}$
$\sigma^{10}$$\left(\begin{array}{lllllllll}\sigma^{4} & \sigma^{5} & \sigma^{6} & \sigma^{7} & \sigma^{8} & \sigma^{9} & \sigma^{10} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$


## Matriz de bordo

Algorithm 2: Reduction of the boundary matrix
Input: a boundary matrix $\Delta$
Output: a reduced matrix $\widetilde{\Delta}$
for $j \leftarrow 1$ to $n$ do
while there exists $i<j$ with $\delta(i)=\delta(j)$ do
add column $i$ to column j ;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma^{1} \\
& \sigma^{1} \\
& \sigma^{2} \\
& \sigma^{2} \\
& \sigma^{3} \\
& \sigma^{4} \\
& \sigma^{3} \\
& \sigma^{5} \\
& \sigma^{6} \\
& \sigma^{6} \\
& \sigma^{4} \\
& \sigma^{7} \\
& \sigma^{8} \\
& 0
\end{aligned} \sigma^{5}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{llllllllll}
\sigma^{1} & \sigma^{2} & \sigma^{3} & \sigma^{4} & \sigma^{5} & \sigma^{6} & \sigma^{7} & \delta_{0}^{8} \times \sigma^{\hat{0}} \\
\sigma^{9}
\end{array} \sigma^{10}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma^{1} \\
\sigma^{2} \\
\sigma^{3} \\
\sigma^{4} \\
\sigma^{5} \\
\sigma^{6} \\
\sigma^{7} \\
\sigma^{8} \\
\sigma^{9} \\
\sigma^{10}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Matriz de bordo

Algorithm 2: Reduction of the boundary matrix
Input: a boundary matrix $\Delta$
Output: a reduced matrix $\widetilde{\Delta}$
for $j \leftarrow 1$ to $n$ do
while there exists $i<j$ with $\delta(i)=\delta(j)$ do add column $i$ to column j ;
$\overline{y c}$

## Matriz de bordo

[^0]
## Matriz de bordo

Algorithm 2: Reduction of the boundary matrix
Input: a boundary matrix $\Delta$
Output: a reduced matrix $\widetilde{\Delta}$
for $j \leftarrow 1$ to $n$ do
while there exists $i<j$ with $\delta(i)=\delta(j)$ do add column $i$ to column j ;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{c}
\sigma^{1} \\
\sigma^{2} \\
\sigma^{3} \\
\sigma^{4} \\
\sigma^{5} \\
\sigma^{6} \\
\sigma^{7} \\
\sigma^{8} \\
\sigma^{9} \\
\sigma^{10}
\end{array}\left(\begin{array}{llllllllll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& \sigma^{1} \sigma^{2} \quad \sigma^{3} \quad \sigma^{4}\left(\sigma^{5}\right)\left(\sigma^{6}\right)\left(\sigma^{7}\right) \sigma^{8} \sigma^{9} \sigma^{10} \\
& +\quad+\quad+\quad-\quad-\quad+\quad+
\end{aligned}
$$

## Algoritmo final

Incremental computation of the homology

```
Input: an increasing sequence of simplicial complexes \(K^{1} \subset \cdots \subset K^{n}=K\)
Output: the Betti numbers \(\beta_{0}(K), \ldots \beta_{d}(K)\)
\(\beta_{0} \leftarrow 0, \ldots, \beta_{d} \leftarrow 0 ;\)
for \(i \leftarrow 1\) to \(n\) do
    \(d=\operatorname{dim}\left(\sigma^{i}\right) ;\)
    if \(\sigma^{i}\) is positive then
        \(\beta_{k}\left(K^{i}\right) \leftarrow \beta_{k}\left(K^{i}\right)+1 ;\)
    else if \(d>0\) then
        \(\beta_{k-1}\left(K^{i}\right) \leftarrow \beta_{k-1}\left(K^{i-1}\right)-1 ;\)
```

Gauss reduction of the boundary matrix

```
Input: a boundary matrix \(\Delta\)
Output: a reduced matrix \(\widetilde{\Delta}\)
for \(i \leftarrow 1 j\) o \(n\) do
        while there exists \(i<j\) with \(\delta(i)=\delta(j)\) do
            add column \(i\) to column j ;
```


## I - Simplicial homology

1 - Reminder of algebra
2 - Homological algebra
3 - Incremental algorithm
II - More about homology
1 - Topology of simplicial complexes
2 - Singular homology
3 - Functoriality
III - Homological inference
1 - Thickening parameter selection
2 - Čech complex
3 - Rips complex

## Simplexo padrão

In order to describe topological spaces, we will decompose them into simpler pieces. The pieces we shall consider are the standard simplices.

The standard simplex of dimension $n$ is the following subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$

$$
\Delta_{n}=\left\{x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n+1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n+1} \geq 0 \text { and } x_{1}+\ldots+x_{n+1}=1\right\}
$$




$\Delta_{0}$
$\Delta_{1}$
$\Delta_{2}$

## Simplexo padrão

In order to describe topological spaces, we will decompose them into simpler pieces. The pieces we shall consider are the standard simplices.

The standard simplex of dimension $n$ is the following subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$

$$
\Delta_{n}=\left\{x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n+1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n+1} \geq 0 \text { and } x_{1}+\ldots+x_{n+1}=1\right\}
$$



$\Delta_{1}$


Remark: For any collection of points $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, their convex hull is defined as:

$$
\operatorname{conv}\left(\left\{a_{1} \ldots a_{k}\right\}\right)=\left\{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} t_{i} a_{i} \mid t_{1}+\ldots+t_{k}=1, \quad t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k} \geq 0\right\}
$$

We can say that $\Delta_{n}$ is the convex hull of the vectors $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n+1}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, where

$$
e_{i}=(0, \ldots, 1,0, \ldots, 0) \quad\left(i^{\text {th }} \text { coordinate } 1, \text { the other ones } 0\right)
$$

## Realização topológica

Let us give simplicial complexes a topology.
Definition: Let $K$ be a simplicial complex, with vertex $V=\{1, \ldots, n\}$.
In $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, consider, for every $i \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$, the vector $e_{i}=(0, \ldots, 1,0, \ldots, 0)\left(i^{\text {th }}\right.$ coordinate 1 , the other ones 0 ).
Let $|K|$ be the subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ defined as:

$$
|K|=\bigcup_{\sigma \in K} \operatorname{conv}\left(\left\{e_{j}, j \in \sigma\right\}\right)
$$

where conv represent the convex hull of points.
Endowed with the subspace topology, $\left(|K|, \mathcal{T}_{||K|}\right)$ is a topological space, that we call the topological realization of $K$.

If $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, the convex hull is defined as:

$$
\operatorname{conv}\left(\left\{a_{1} \ldots a_{k}\right\}\right)=\left\{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} t_{i} a_{i} \mid t_{1}+\ldots+t_{k}=1, \quad t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k} \geq 0\right\}
$$

## Realização topológica

Let us give simplicial complexes a topology.
Definition: Let $K$ be a simplicial complex, with vertex $V=\{1, \ldots, n\}$.
In $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, consider, for every $i \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$, the vector $e_{i}=(0, \ldots, 1,0, \ldots, 0)\left(i^{\text {th }}\right.$ coordinate 1 , the other ones 0 ).
Let $|K|$ be the subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ defined as:

$$
|K|=\bigcup_{\sigma \in K} \operatorname{conv}\left(\left\{e_{j}, j \in \sigma\right\}\right)
$$

where conv represent the convex hull of points.
Endowed with the subspace topology, $\left(|K|, \mathcal{T}_{||K|}\right)$ is a topological space, that we call the topological realization of $K$.

Remark: If the simplicial complex can be drawn in the plane (or space) without crossing itself, then its topological realization simply is the subspace topology.

Example: $\quad K=\{[0],[1],[2],[3],[0,1],[1,2],[2,0],[1,3],[2,3],[0,1,2]\}$.


## Triangulações

Definition: Let $X$ be a topological space. A triangulation of $X$ is a simplicial complex $K$ such that its topological realization $|K|$ is homeomorphic to $X$.

Example: The following simplicial complex is a triangulation of the circle:

$$
K=\{[0],[1],[2],[0,1],[1,2],[2,0]\}
$$



Example: The following simplicial complex is a triangulation of the sphere:
$K=\{[0],[1],[2],[3],[0,1],[1,2],[2,3],[3,0],[0,2],[1,3],[0,1,2],[0,1,3],[0,2,3],[1,2,3]\}$.


## Triangulações

Definition: Let $X$ be a topological space. A triangulation of $X$ is a simplicial complex $K$ such that its topological realization $|K|$ is homeomorphic to $X$.

Given a topological space, it is not always possible to triangulate it. However, when it is, there exists many different triangulations.


Theorem (Manolescu, 2016): For any dimension $n \geq 5$ there is a compact topological manifold which does not admit a triangulation.
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## Simplexo singular

Let us consider a topological space $X$. We want a notion of simplices.


## Simplexo singular

Let us consider a topological space $X$. We want a notion of simplices.


Definition: A singular $n$-simplex is a continuous map $\Delta_{n} \rightarrow X$, where $\Delta_{n}$ is the standard $n$-simplex. We denote $S_{n}$ their set.

We now want a notion of boundary.

## Simplexo singular

Let us consider a topological space $X$. We want a notion of simplices.


Definition: A singular $n$-simplex is a continuous map $\Delta_{n} \rightarrow X$, where $\Delta_{n}$ is the standard $n$-simplex. We denote $S_{n}$ their set.

We now want a notion of boundary.
The boundary of $\Delta_{n}$ consists in $n+1$ copies of $\Delta_{n-1}$.
We can restrict a singular $n$-simplex $\Delta_{n} \rightarrow X$ to the boundaries, giving $n+1$ singular ( $n-1$ )-simplices $\Delta_{n-1} \rightarrow X$.

Definition: The boundary of a singular $n$-simplex $\Delta_{n} \rightarrow X$ is the formal sum of the $n+1$ singular $(n-1)$-simplices $\Delta_{n-1} \rightarrow X$

## Homologia singular

For a simplicial complex $K$, we have defined $n$-chains

$$
\sum_{\sigma \in K_{(n)}} \epsilon_{\sigma} \cdot \sigma \quad \text { where } \quad \forall \sigma \in K_{(n)}, \epsilon_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}
$$

boundary operator chain complex

$$
\partial_{n} \sigma=\sum_{\substack{\tau|\tau \subset \sigma\\| \tau|\sigma|-1}} \tau
$$

$$
\ldots \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+2}} C_{n+1}(K) \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}} C_{n}(K) \xrightarrow{\partial_{n}} C_{n-1}(K) \xrightarrow{\partial_{n-1}} \ldots
$$

$$
n \text {-cycles and } n \text {-boundaries } \quad Z_{n}(K)=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\partial_{n}\right) \quad B_{n}(K)=\operatorname{Im}\left(\partial_{n+1}\right)
$$

$n^{\text {th }}$ simplicial homology group $\quad H_{n}(K)=Z_{n}(K) / B_{n}(K)$
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$n$-chains

$$
\sum_{\sigma \in K_{(n)}} \epsilon_{\sigma} \cdot \sigma \quad \text { where } \quad \forall \sigma \in K_{(n)}, \epsilon_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}
$$

boundary operator chain complex

$$
\ldots \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+2}} C_{n+1}(K) \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}} C_{n}(K) \xrightarrow{\partial_{n}} C_{n-1}(K) \xrightarrow{\partial_{n-1}} \ldots
$$

$$
n \text {-cycles and } n \text {-boundaries } \quad Z_{n}(K)=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\partial_{n}\right) \quad B_{n}(K)=\operatorname{Im}\left(\partial_{n+1}\right)
$$

$n^{\text {th }}$ simplicial homology group $\quad H_{n}(K)=Z_{n}(K) / B_{n}(K)$
For a topological space $X$, we can define
$n$-chains $\quad \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}} \epsilon_{\sigma} \cdot \sigma \quad$ where $\quad \forall \sigma \in S_{n}, \epsilon_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$
boundary operator
chain complex

$$
\partial_{n} \sigma=\sum_{\substack{\tau|\tau \subset \sigma\\| \tau \mid-1}} \tau
$$

$$
\ldots \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+2}} C_{n+1}(X) \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}} C_{n}(X) \xrightarrow{\partial_{n}} C_{n-1}(X) \xrightarrow{\partial_{n-1}} \ldots
$$

$n$-cycles and $n$-boundaries

$$
Z_{n}(X)=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\partial_{n}\right)
$$

$$
B_{n}(X)=\operatorname{Im}\left(\partial_{n+1}\right)
$$

$n^{\text {th }}$ singular homology group
$H_{n}(X)=Z_{n}(X) / B_{n}(X)$

## Homologia singular

Theorem: If $X$ is a topological space and $K$ a triangulation of it, then for all $n \geq 0$, $H_{n}(X)=H_{n}(K)$.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{0}(X)=\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \\
& H_{1}(X)=\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \\
& H_{2}(X)=0
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{0}(K) & =\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \\
H_{1}(K) & =\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \\
H_{2}(X) & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Homologia singular

Theorem: If $X$ is a topological space and $K$ a triangulation of it, then for all $n \geq 0$, $H_{n}(X)=H_{n}(K)$.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{0}(X)=\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \\
& H_{1}(X)=\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \\
& H_{2}(X)=0
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{0}(K) & =\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \\
H_{1}(K) & =\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \\
H_{2}(X) & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem: If $X$ and $Y$ are homotopy equivalent topological spaces, then for all $n \geq 0$, $H_{n}(X)=H_{n}(Y)$.

Corollary: If $K$ and $L$ are homotopy equivalent simplicial complexes, then for all $n \geq 0$, $H_{n}(K)=H_{n}(L)$.
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## Homologia é um functor

We have seen that homology transforms topological spaces into vector spaces

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{i}: \text { Top } & \longrightarrow \text { Vect } \\
X & \longmapsto H_{i}(X)
\end{aligned}
$$

Actually, it also transforms continous maps into linear maps

$$
X \xrightarrow{f} Y \quad H_{n}(X) \xrightarrow{H_{n}(f)} H_{n}(Y)
$$

This operation preserves commutative diagrams:


$$
H_{n}(g \circ f)=H_{n}(g) \circ H_{n}(f)
$$

## Aplicação - na teoria

Application (Brouwer's fixed point theorem):
Let $f: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a continous map, where $\mathcal{B}$ is the unit closed ball of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Let us show that $f$ has a fixed point $(f(x)=x)$.

If not, we can define a map $F: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \partial \mathcal{B}$ such that $F$ restricted to $\partial \mathcal{B}$ is the identity. To do so, define $F(x)$ as the first intersection between the half-line $[x, f(x))$ and $\partial \mathcal{B}$.


Denote the inclusion $i: \partial \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$. Then $F \circ i: \partial \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \partial \mathcal{B}$ is the identity. By functoriality, we have commutative diagrams


But for $i=n-1$, we have an absurdity:
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# O problema da inferência homológica $31 / 44(1 / 13)$ 

Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a bounded subset.
Suppose that we are given a finite sample $X \subset \mathcal{M}$.
Estimate the homology groups of $\mathcal{M}$ from $X$.
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Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a bounded subset.
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Estimate the homology groups of $\mathcal{M}$ from $X$.


We cannot use $X$ directly. Its homology is disapointing:
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We cannot use $X$ directly.

## Idea: Thicken $X$.

Definition: For every $t \geq 0$, the $t$-thickening of the set $X$, denoted $X^{t}$, is the set of points of the ambient space with distance at most $t$ from $X$ :

$$
X^{t}=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid \exists x \in X,\|x-y\| \leq t\right\}
$$
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## Idea: Thicken $X$.

Definition: For every $t \geq 0$, the $t$-thickening of the set $X$, denoted $X^{t}$, is the set of points of the ambient space with distance at most $t$ from $X$ :
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## O problema da inferência homológica ${ }_{31 / 44}(11 / 13)$

Some thickenings are homotopy equivalent to $\mathcal{M}$.


Hence we can recover the homology of $\mathcal{M}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{0}(\mathcal{M})=\beta_{0}\left(X^{0.3}\right) \\
& \beta_{1}(\mathcal{M})=\beta_{1}\left(X^{0.3}\right) \\
& \beta_{2}(\mathcal{M})=\beta_{2}\left(X^{0.3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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& \beta_{1}(\mathcal{M})=\beta_{1}\left(X^{0.3}\right) \\
& \beta_{2}(\mathcal{M})=\beta_{2}\left(X^{0.3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Question 1: How to select a $t$ such that $X^{t} \approx \mathcal{M}$ ?
Question 2: How to compute the homology groups of $X^{t}$ ?
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Hence we can recover the homology of $\mathcal{M}$ :
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\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{0}(\mathcal{M})=\beta_{0}\left(X^{0.3}\right) \\
& \beta_{1}(\mathcal{M})=\beta_{1}\left(X^{0.3}\right) \\
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Question 1: How to select a $t$ such that $X^{t} \approx \mathcal{M}$ ?


Question 2: How to compute the homology groups of $X^{t}$ ?
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## Distância de Hausdorff

Let $X$ be any subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. The function distance to $X$ is the map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dist}(\cdot, X): \mathbb{R}^{n} & \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\
y & \longmapsto \operatorname{dist}(y, X)=\inf \{\|y-x\|, x \in X\}
\end{aligned}
$$

A projection of $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ on $X$ is a point $x \in X$ which attains this infimum.
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Let $X$ be any subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. The function distance to $X$ is the map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dist}(\cdot, X): \mathbb{R}^{n} & \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\
y & \longmapsto \operatorname{dist}(y, X)=\inf \{\|y-x\|, x \in X\}
\end{aligned}
$$

A projection of $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ on $X$ is a point $x \in X$ which attains this infimum.
Definition: Let $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be another subset. The Hausdorff distance between $X$ and $Y$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}}(X, Y) & =\max \left\{\sup _{y \in Y} \operatorname{dist}(y, X), \sup _{x \in X} \operatorname{dist}(x, Y)\right\} \\
& =\max \left\{\sup _{y \in Y} \inf _{x \in X}\|x-y\|, \sup _{x \in X} \inf _{y \in Y}\|x-y\|\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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\operatorname{dist}(\cdot, X): \mathbb{R}^{n} & \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\
y & \longmapsto \operatorname{dist}(y, X)=\inf \{\|y-x\|, x \in X\}
\end{aligned}
$$

A projection of $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ on $X$ is a point $x \in X$ which attains this infimum.
Definition: Let $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be another subset. The Hausdorff distance between $X$ and $Y$ is

$$
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Proposition: The Hausdorff distance is equal to $\inf \left\{t \geq 0 \mid X \subset Y^{t}\right.$ and $\left.Y \subset X^{t}\right\}$.


## Medial axis e reach

The medial axis of $X$ is the subset $\operatorname{med}(X) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ which consists of points $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ that admit at least two projections on $X$ :

$$
\operatorname{med}(X)=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid \exists x, x^{\prime} \in X, x \neq x^{\prime},\|y-x\|=\left\|y-x^{\prime}\right\|=\operatorname{dist}(y, X)\right\}
$$
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## Examples:

The medial axis of the unit circle is the origin
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The medial axis of a point is the empty set

## Medial axis e reach

The medial axis of $X$ is the subset $\operatorname{med}(X) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ which consists of points $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ that admit at least two projections on $X$ :
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## Examples:

The medial axis of the unit circle is the origin

The medial axis of an ellipse is a segment

The medial axis of a point is the empty set

The medial axis of two points is their bisector

## Medial axis e reach
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Proposition: For every $t \in[0$, reach $(X))$, the spaces $X$ and $X^{t}$ are homotopy equivalent.
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$$



Proposition: For every $t \in[0$, reach $(X))$, the spaces $X$ and $X^{t}$ are homotopy equivalent.

## Medial axis e reach

The medial axis of $X$ is the subset med $(X) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ which consists of points $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ that admit at least two projections on $X$ :

$$
\operatorname{med}(X)=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid \exists x, x^{\prime} \in X, x \neq x^{\prime},\|y-x\|=\left\|y-x^{\prime}\right\|=\operatorname{dist}(y, X)\right\}
$$

The reach of $X$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{reach}(X) & =\inf \{\operatorname{dist}(y, X) \mid y \in \operatorname{med}(X)\} \\
& =\inf \{\|x-y\| \mid x \in X, y \in \operatorname{med}(X)\} .
\end{aligned}
$$



Proposition: For every $t \in[0$, reach $(X))$, the spaces $X$ and $X^{t}$ are homotopy equivalent.
If $t \geq \operatorname{reach}(X)$, the sets $X$ and $X^{t}$ may not be homotopy equivalent.

## Medial axis e reach

Proposition: For every $t \in[0$, reach $(X))$, the spaces $X$ and $X^{t}$ are homotopy equivalent.

Proof: For every $t \in[0$, reach $(X))$, the thickening $X^{t}$ deform retracts onto $X$. A homotopy is given by the following map:

$$
\begin{aligned}
X^{t} \times[0,1] & \longrightarrow X^{t} \\
(x, t) & \longmapsto(1-t) x+t \cdot \operatorname{proj}(x, X) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Indeed, the projection $\operatorname{proj}(x, X)$ is well defined (it is unique).

## Seleção do parâmetro $t$

Remember Question 1: How to select a $t$ such that $X^{t} \approx \mathcal{M}$ ?


Theorem (Frédéric Chazal, David Cohen-Steiner, and André Lieutier, 2009):
Let $X$ and $\mathcal{M}$ be subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{M}$ has positive reach, and that $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}}(X, \mathcal{M}) \leq \frac{1}{17} \operatorname{reach}(\mathcal{M})$.
Then $X^{t}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ are homotopic equivalent, provided that

$$
t \in\left[4 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{H}}(X, \mathcal{M}), \operatorname{reach}(\mathcal{M})-3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{H}}(X, \mathcal{M})\right)
$$

## Seleção do parâmetro $t$

Remember Question 1: How to select a $t$ such that $X^{t} \approx \mathcal{M}$ ?


Theorem (Frédéric Chazal, David Cohen-Steiner, and André Lieutier, 2009):
Let $X$ and $\mathcal{M}$ be subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{M}$ has positive reach, and that $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}}(X, \mathcal{M}) \leq \frac{1}{17} \operatorname{reach}(\mathcal{M})$.
Then $X^{t}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ are homotopic equivalent, provided that

$$
t \in\left[4 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{H}}(X, \mathcal{M}), \operatorname{reach}(\mathcal{M})-3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{H}}(X, \mathcal{M})\right)
$$

Theorem (Partha Niyogi, Stephen Smale, and Shmuel Weinberger, 2008):
Let $X$ and $\mathcal{M}$ be subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, with $\mathcal{M}$ a submanifold, and $X$ a finite subset of $\mathcal{M}$.
Suppose that $\mathcal{M}$ has positive reach.
Then $X^{t}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ are homotopic equivalent, provided that

$$
t \in\left[2 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{H}}(X, \mathcal{M}), \sqrt{\frac{3}{5}} \operatorname{reach}(\mathcal{M})\right) .
$$

## I - Simplicial homology

1 - Reminder of algebra
2 - Homological algebra
3 - Incremental algorithm
II - More about homology
1 - Topology of simplicial complexes
2 - Singular homology
3 - Functoriality
III - Homological inference
1 - Thickening parameter selection
2 - Čech complex
3 - Rips complex

## Triangulações (fracas)

Let us consider Question 2: How to compute the homology groups of $X^{t}$ ?
We must a triangulation of $X^{t}$, that is: a simplicial complex $K$ homeomorphic to $X$.
Actually, we will define something weaker: a simplicial complex $K$ that is homotopy equivalent to $X$.

## Triangulações (fracas)

Let us consider Question 2: How to compute the homology groups of $X^{t}$ ?
We must a triangulation of $X^{t}$, that is: a simplicial complex $K$ homeomorphic to $X$.
Actually, we will define something weaker: a simplicial complex $K$ that is homotopy equivalent to $X$.

weak triangulation

Either case, we will have $\beta_{i}(X)=\beta_{i}(K)$ for all $i \geq 0$.


## Nervos

Definition: Let $X$ be a topological space, and $\mathcal{U}=\left\{U_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq N}$ a cover of $X$, that is, a collection of subsets $U_{i} \subset X$ such that

$$
\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq N} U_{i}=X
$$

The nerve of $\mathcal{U}$ is the simplicial complex with vertex set $\{1, \ldots, N\}$ and whose $m$-simplices are the subsets $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\} \subset\{1, \ldots, N\}$ such that $\bigcap_{k=0}^{m} U_{i_{k}} \neq \emptyset$. It is denoted $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})$.
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Definition: Let $X$ be a topological space, and $\mathcal{U}=\left\{U_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq N}$ a cover of $X$, that is, a collection of subsets $U_{i} \subset X$ such that
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Definition: Let $X$ be a topological space, and $\mathcal{U}=\left\{U_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq N}$ a cover of $X$, that is, a collection of subsets $U_{i} \subset X$ such that
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\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq N} U_{i}=X
$$

The nerve of $\mathcal{U}$ is the simplicial complex with vertex set $\{1, \ldots, N\}$ and whose $m$-simplices are the subsets $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\} \subset\{1, \ldots, N\}$ such that $\bigcap_{k=0}^{m} U_{i_{k}} \neq \emptyset$. It is denoted $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})$.
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The nerve of $\mathcal{U}$ is the simplicial complex with vertex set $\{1, \ldots, N\}$ and whose $m$-simplices are the subsets $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\} \subset\{1, \ldots, N\}$ such that $\bigcap_{k=0}^{m} U_{i_{k}} \neq \emptyset$. It is denoted $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})$.

$X^{0.2}=\bigcup_{x \in X} \overline{\mathcal{B}}(x, 0.2)$ is covered by $\mathcal{U}=\{\overline{\mathcal{B}}(x, 0.2) \mid x \in X\}$

## Nervos

Definition: Let $X$ be a topological space, and $\mathcal{U}=\left\{U_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq N}$ a cover of $X$, that is, a collection of subsets $U_{i} \subset X$ such that

$$
\bigcup_{\leq i \leq N} U_{i}=X .
$$

The nerve of $\mathcal{U}$ is the simplicial complex with vertex set $\{1, \ldots, N\}$ and whose $m$-simplices are the subsets $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\} \subset\{1, \ldots, N\}$ such that $\bigcap_{k=0}^{m} U_{i_{k}} \neq \emptyset$. It is denoted $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})$.


$$
X^{0.2}=\bigcup_{x \in X} \overline{\mathcal{B}}(x, 0.2) \text { is covered by } \mathcal{U}=\{\overline{\mathcal{B}}(x, 0.2) \mid x \in X\}
$$

## Nervos

Definition: Let $X$ be a topological space, and $\mathcal{U}=\left\{U_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq N}$ a cover of $X$, that is, a collection of subsets $U_{i} \subset X$ such that

$$
\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq N} U_{i}=X
$$

The nerve of $\mathcal{U}$ is the simplicial complex with vertex set $\{1, \ldots, N\}$ and whose $m$-simplices are the subsets $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\} \subset\{1, \ldots, N\}$ such that $\bigcap_{k=0}^{m} U_{i_{k}} \neq \emptyset$. It is denoted $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})$.


$$
X^{0.3}=\bigcup_{x \in X} \overline{\mathcal{B}}(x, 0.3) \text { is covered by } \mathcal{U}=\{\overline{\mathcal{B}}(x, 0.3) \mid x \in X\}
$$

## Nervos

Definition: Let $X$ be a topological space, and $\mathcal{U}=\left\{U_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq N}$ a cover of $X$, that is, a collection of subsets $U_{i} \subset X$ such that

$$
\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq N} U_{i}=X
$$

The nerve of $\mathcal{U}$ is the simplicial complex with vertex set $\{1, \ldots, N\}$ and whose $m$-simplices are the subsets $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\} \subset\{1, \ldots, N\}$ such that $\bigcap_{k=0}^{m} U_{i_{k}} \neq \emptyset$. It is denoted $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})$.


$$
X^{0.3}=\bigcup_{x \in X} \overline{\mathcal{B}}(x, 0.3) \text { is covered by } \mathcal{U}=\{\overline{\mathcal{B}}(x, 0.3) \mid x \in X\}
$$

## Nervos

Definition: Let $X$ be a topological space, and $\mathcal{U}=\left\{U_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq N}$ a cover of $X$, that is, a collection of subsets $U_{i} \subset X$ such that

$$
\bigcup_{\leq i \leq N} U_{i}=X .
$$

The nerve of $\mathcal{U}$ is the simplicial complex with vertex set $\{1, \ldots, N\}$ and whose $m$-simplices are the subsets $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\} \subset\{1, \ldots, N\}$ such that $\bigcap_{k=0}^{m} U_{i_{k}} \neq \emptyset$. It is denoted $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})$.

Nerve theorem: Consider $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Suppose that each $U_{i}$ are balls (or more generally, closed and convex). Then $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})$ is homotopy equivalent to $X$.


## Complexo de Čech

Let $X$ be a finite subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and $t \geq 0$. Consider the collection

$$
\mathcal{V}^{t}=\{\overline{\mathcal{B}}(x, t), x \in X\} .
$$

This is a cover of the thickening $X^{t}$, and each components are closed balls. By Nerve Theorem, its nerve $\mathcal{N}\left(\mathcal{V}^{t}\right)$ has the homotopy type of $X^{t}$.

Definition: This nerve is denoted $\check{\text { Cech }}{ }^{t}(X)$ and is called the Čech complex of $X$ at time $t$.


## Complexo de Čech

Let $X$ be a finite subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and $t \geq 0$. Consider the collection

$$
\mathcal{V}^{t}=\{\overline{\mathcal{B}}(x, t), x \in X\} .
$$

This is a cover of the thickening $X^{t}$, and each components are closed balls. By Nerve Theorem, its nerve $\mathcal{N}\left(\mathcal{V}^{t}\right)$ has the homotopy type of $X^{t}$.

Definition: This nerve is denoted $\check{\operatorname{Cech}}{ }^{t}(X)$ and is called the Čech complex of $X$ at time $t$.

$\longrightarrow$ The Question 2 (How to compute the homology groups of $X^{t}$ ?) is solved.

## I - Simplicial homology

1 - Reminder of algebra
2 - Homological algebra
3 - Incremental algorithm
II - More about homology
1 - Topology of simplicial complexes
2 - Singular homology
3 - Functoriality
III - Homological inference
1 - Thickening parameter selection
2 - Čech complex
3 - Rips complex

## Computação do complexo de Čech

Let $X=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be finite, let $t \geq 0$ and consider the $t$-thickening

$$
X^{t}=\bigcup_{x \in X} \overline{\mathcal{B}}(x, t) .
$$

By definition, its nerve, Čech ${ }^{t}(X)$, the Čech complex at time $t$, is a simplicial complex on the vertices $\{1, \ldots, N\}$ whose simplices are the subsets $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\}$ such that

$$
\bigcap_{1 \leq k \leq m} \overline{\mathcal{B}}\left(x_{i_{k}}, t\right) \neq \emptyset
$$

## Computação do complexo de Čech

Let $X=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be finite, let $t \geq 0$ and consider the $t$-thickening

$$
X^{t}=\bigcup_{x \in X} \overline{\mathcal{B}}(x, t) .
$$

By definition, its nerve, Čech ${ }^{t}(X)$, the Čech complex at time $t$, is a simplicial complex on the vertices $\{1, \ldots, N\}$ whose simplices are the subsets $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\}$ such that

$$
\bigcap_{1 \leq k \leq m} \overline{\mathcal{B}}\left(x_{i_{k}}, t\right) \neq \emptyset .
$$

Therefore, computing the Čech complex relies on the following geometric predicate:
Given $m$ closed balls of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, do they intersect?
This problem is known as the smallest circle problem. It can can be solved in $O(m)$ time, where $m$ is the number of points.

## Computação do complexo de Čech

Let $X=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be finite, let $t \geq 0$ and consider the $t$-thickening

$$
X^{t}=\bigcup_{x \in X} \overline{\mathcal{B}}(x, t)
$$

By definition, its nerve, $\check{\operatorname{Cech}}{ }^{t}(X)$, the Čech complex at time $t$, is a simplicial complex on the vertices $\{1, \ldots, N\}$ whose simplices are the subsets $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\}$ such that

$$
\bigcap_{1 \leq k \leq m} \overline{\mathcal{B}}\left(x_{i_{k}}, t\right) \neq \emptyset
$$

Therefore, computing the Čech complex relies on the following geometric predicate:

## Given $m$ closed balls of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, do they intersect?

This problem is known as the smallest circle problem. It can can be solved in $O(m)$ time, where $m$ is the number of points.
$\longrightarrow$ in practice, we prefer a more simple version

## Complexo de clique

Let $G$ be a graph.
We call a clique of $G$ a set of vertices $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}$ such that for every $i, j \in \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket$ with $i \neq j$, the edge $\left[v_{i}, v_{j}\right]$ belongs to $G$.
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## Complexo de clique

Let $G$ be a graph.
We call a clique of $G$ a set of vertices $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}$ such that for every $i, j \in \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket$ with $i \neq j$, the edge $\left[v_{i}, v_{j}\right]$ belongs to $G$.


Definition: Given a graph $G$, the corresponding clique complex is the simplicial complex whose

- vertices are the vertices of $G$,
- simplices are the sets of vertices of the cliques of $G$.



## Complexo de clique

Let $G$ be a graph.
We call a clique of $G$ a set of vertices $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}$ such that for every $i, j \in \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket$ with $i \neq j$, the edge $\left[v_{i}, v_{j}\right]$ belongs to $G$.


Definition: Given a graph $G$, the corresponding clique complex is the simplicial complex whose

- vertices are the vertices of $G$,
- simplices are the sets of vertices of the cliques of $G$.


Observation: The clique complex of a graph is a simplicial complex.

## Complexo de Rips

Let $X=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $t \geq 0$.
Consider the graph $G^{t}$ whose vertex set is $\{1, \ldots, N\}$, and whose edges are the pairs $(i, j)$ such that $\left\|x_{i}-x_{j}\right\| \leq 2 t$.
Alternatively, $G^{t}$ can be seen as the 1-skeleton of the Čech complex Čech ${ }^{t}(X)$.
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Definition: The Rips complex of $X$ at time $t$ is the clique complex of the graph $G^{t}$. We denote it $\operatorname{Rips}^{t}(X)$.
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## Complexo de Rips

Let $X=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $t \geq 0$.
Consider the graph $G^{t}$ whose vertex set is $\{1, \ldots, N\}$, and whose edges are the pairs $(i, j)$ such that $\left\|x_{i}-x_{j}\right\| \leq 2 t$.
Alternatively, $G^{t}$ can be seen as the 1-skeleton of the Čech complex Čech ${ }^{t}(X)$.


Definition: The Rips complex of $X$ at time $t$ is the clique complex of the graph $G^{t}$. We denote it $\operatorname{Rips}^{t}(X)$.


## Complexo de Rips

Proposition: For every $t \geq 0$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Cech}^{t}(X) \subset \operatorname{Rips}^{t}(X) \subset \operatorname{Cech}^{2 t}(X)
$$
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## Complexo de Rips

Proposition: For every $t \geq 0$, we have

$$
\check{\operatorname{Cech}}^{t}(X) \subset \operatorname{Rips}^{t}(X) \subset \operatorname{Cech}^{2 t}(X)
$$




Proof: Let $t \geq 0$. The first inclusion follows from the fact that $\operatorname{Rips}^{t}(X)$ is the clique complex of Čech ${ }^{t}(X)$.
To prove the second one, choose a simplex $\sigma \in \operatorname{Rips}^{t}(X)$. Let us prove that $\omega \in$ Cech $^{2 t}(X)$.
Let $x \in \sigma$ be any vertex. Note that $\forall y \in \sigma$, we have $\|x-y\| \leq 2 t$ by definition of the Rips complex. Hence

$$
x \in \bigcap_{y \in \sigma} \overline{\mathcal{B}}(y, 2 t) .
$$

The intersection being non-empty, we deduce $\sigma \in$ Čech $^{2 t}(X)$.

## Conclusão

## Question 1: How to select a $t$ such that $X^{t} \approx \mathcal{M}$ ?

Theorem (Frédéric Chazal, David Cohen-Steiner, and André Lieutier, 2009):
Let $X$ and $\mathcal{M}$ be subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{M}$ has positive reach, and that $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}}(X, \mathcal{M}) \leq \frac{1}{17} \operatorname{reach}(\mathcal{M})$.
Then $X^{t}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ are homotopic equivalent, provided that

$$
t \in\left[4 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{H}}(X, \mathcal{M}), \operatorname{reach}(\mathcal{M})-3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{H}}(X, \mathcal{M})\right)
$$

Theorem (Partha Niyogi, Stephen Smale, and Shmuel Weinberger, 2008) Let $X$ and $\mathcal{M}$ be subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, with $\mathcal{M}$ a submanifold, and $X$ a finite subset of $\mathcal{M}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{M}$ has positive reach.
Then $X^{t}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ are homotopic equivalent, provided that

$$
t \in\left[2 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{H}}(X, \mathcal{M}), \sqrt{\frac{3}{5}} \operatorname{reach}(\mathcal{M})\right) .
$$
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these quantities are not known!
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Is this object 1- or 2-dimensional?


## Conclusão

## Question 1: How to select a $t$ such that $X^{t} \approx \mathcal{M}$ ?

Theorem (Frédéric Chazal, David Cohen-Steiner, and André Lieutier, 2009):
Let $X$ and $\mathcal{M}$ be subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{M}$ has positive reach, and that $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}}(X, \mathcal{M}) \leq \frac{1}{17} \operatorname{reach}(\mathcal{M})$.
Then $X^{t}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ are homotopic equivalent, provided that


Theorem (Partha Niyogi, Stephen Smale, and Shmuel Weinberger, 2008) Let $X$ and $\mathcal{M}$ be subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, with $\mathcal{M}$ a submanifold, and $X$ a finite subset of $\mathcal{M}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{M}$ has positive reach.
Then $X^{t}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ are homotopic equivalent, provided that

$$
t \in\left[2 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{H}}(X, \mathcal{M}), \sqrt{\frac{3}{5}} \operatorname{reach}(\mathcal{M})\right) .
$$

these quantities are not known!

Is this object 1- or 2-dimensional?


Idea (multiscale analysis): Instead of estimating a value of $t$, we will choose all of them.


[^0]:    Algorithm 2: Reduction of the boundary matrix
    Input: a boundary matrix $\Delta$
    Output: a reduced matrix $\widetilde{\Delta}$

    ## for $j \leftarrow 1$ to $n$ do

    while there exists $i<j$ with $\delta(i)=\delta(j)$ do
    add column $i$ to column j ;
    
    .

    $$
    \left.\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
    \sigma^{1} \\
    \sigma^{2} \\
    \sigma^{3} \\
    \sigma^{4} \\
    \sigma^{5} & \left(\begin{array}{lllllllll}
    0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
    0 \\
    0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
    0 \\
    \sigma^{6} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
    0 \\
    \sigma^{7} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
    \sigma^{8} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
    1 \\
    \sigma^{9} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
    0 \\
    \sigma^{10}
    \end{array}\right. & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
    0 \\
    0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
    0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
    0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
    \end{array}\right)
    $$

    $$
    \begin{gathered}
    \sigma^{1} \\
    \sigma^{2} \\
    \sigma^{3} \\
    \sigma^{4} \\
    \sigma^{5} \\
    \sigma^{6} \\
    \sigma^{7} \\
    \sigma^{8} \\
    \sigma^{9} \\
    \sigma^{10}
    \end{gathered}
    $$

    $\left(\begin{array}{llllllllll}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$

