Persistent Stiefel-Whitney classes

Raphaël Tinarrage

EPFL, Applied Topology Seminar, 03/11/2020

Persistent homology

We observe a point cloud X, that we suppose close to a submanifold $\mathcal{M}$.

Submanifold $\mathcal{M}$



Point cloud X



Persistent homology in practice:



Filtration $V[X]$ → $\mathbb{V}$ →



Filtration $V[X]$ Persistence module $\mathbb{V}[X]$ $\mathrm{Barcode}[X]$

Persistent homology

We observe a point cloud X, that we suppose close to a submanifold $\mathcal{M}$.



Submanifold $\mathcal{M}$



Point cloud X

Persistent homology in practice:



Filtration $V[X]$ → $\mathbb{V}$ →



Persistence module $\mathbb{V}[X]$

$\mathrm{Barcode}[X]$

How does $\mathrm{Barcode}[X]$ reveals the homology of $\mathcal{M}$?

→ homotopy type estimation

→ persistence stability

The Čech filtration of X is the collection $V[X] = (X^t)_{t\geq 0}$ where X^t is the t-thickening of X:

$$X^t = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \exists x \in X, \|x - y\| \leq t\}.$$



The Čech filtration of X is the collection $V[X] = (X^t)_{t\geq 0}$ where X^t is the t-thickening of X:

$$X^t = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \exists x \in X, \|x - y\| \leq t\}.$$



Theorem (Chazal, Cohen-Steiner, Lieutier, 2009)

Let $\mathcal{M}, X$ be subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$.
Suppose that $\mathrm{reach}\,(\mathcal{M}) > 0$ and $\mathrm{d_H}\,(X, \mathcal{M}) \leq \frac{1}{17}\mathrm{reach}\,(\mathcal{M})$. Let

$$t \in [4\mathrm{d_H}\,(X, \mathcal{M})\,, \mathrm{reach}\,(\mathcal{M}) - 3\mathrm{d_H}\,(X, \mathcal{M}))\,.$$

Then X^t and $\mathcal{M}$ are homotopy equivalent.

Persistent homology

Homotopy type estimation

The Čech filtration of X is the collection $V[X] = (X^t)_{t \geq 0}$ where X^t is the t-thickening of X:

$$X^t = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \exists x \in X, \|x - y\| \leq t\}.$$



Theorem (Niyogi, Smale, Weinberger, 2008)

Let X and $\mathcal{M}$ be subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$, with $\mathcal{M}$ a submanifold and $X \subset \mathcal{M}$ finite. Suppose that $\mathrm{reach}(\mathcal{M}) > 0$. Let

$$t \in \left[2\mathrm{d_H}(X, \mathcal{M}), \sqrt{\tfrac{3}{5}}\mathrm{reach}(\mathcal{M})\right).$$

Then X^t and $\mathcal{M}$ are homotopy equivalent.

The Čech filtration of X is the collection $V[X] = (X^t)_{t \geq 0}$ where X^t is the t-thickening of X:

$$X^t = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \exists x \in X, \|x - y\| \leq t\}.$$



$X^0 = X$ $X^{0,1}$ $X^{0,2}$ $X^{0,3}$

As a consequence, one reads the homology of $\mathcal{M}$ on $\mathrm{Barcode}[X]$, on some interval.



Let $V[\mathcal{M}]$ be the Čech filtration of $\mathcal{M}$.
For every $t \in [0, \mathrm{reach}\,(\mathcal{M}))$, we have $\mathcal{M}^t \simeq \mathcal{M}$.



Let $V[\mathcal{M}]$ be the Čech filtration of $\mathcal{M}$.
For every $t \in [0, \mathrm{reach}(\mathcal{M}))$, we have $\mathcal{M}^t \simeq \mathcal{M}$.



Let $\epsilon = \mathrm{d_H}(X, \mathcal{M})$.
By stability theorem, $\mathrm{Barcode}[X]$ and $\mathrm{Barcode}[\mathcal{M}]$ are ϵ-close in bottleneck distance.



choose the largest bars!

Other topological invariants

Persistent homology allows to estimate the *homology* of a space. However, over $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, homology may not be fine enough to distinguish between | non-homeomorphic spaces. | non-homotopy equivalent spaces.



Torus



Klein bottle

$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$, $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, 0, ...

$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$, $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, 0, ...

Other topological invariants

Persistent homology allows to estimate the *homology* of a space. However, over $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, homology may not be fine enough to distinguish between | non-homeomorphic spaces. | non-homotopy equivalent spaces.

	 Torus	 Klein bottle
$H_i(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}), i \geq 0$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$, $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, 0, ...	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$, $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, 0, ...
$H_i(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}), i \geq 0$	$\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^2$, $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, 0, ...	$\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, 0, 0, ...
$H_i(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{Z}), i \geq 0$	$\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z}^2$, $\mathbb{Z}$, 0, ...	$\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, 0, 0, ...
$H^*(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}[x,y]/\langle x^2, y^2 \rangle$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}[x,y]/\langle x^3, y^2, x^2y \rangle$
$w_1(\tau)$	0	x

Other topological invariants

Persistent homology allows to estimate the *homology* of a space. However, over $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, homology may not be fine enough to distinguish between non-homeomorphic spaces. | non-homotopy equivalent spaces.

homology groups over $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$

	 Torus	 Klein bottle
$H_i(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}), i \geq 0$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$, $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, 0, ...	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$, $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, 0, ...
$H_i(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}), i \geq 0$	$\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^2$, $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, 0, ...	$\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, 0, 0, ...
$H_i(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{Z}), i \geq 0$	$\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z}^2$, $\mathbb{Z}$, 0, ...	$\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, 0, 0, ...
$H^*(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}[x, y]/\langle x^2, y^2 \rangle$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}[x, y]/\langle x^3, y^2, x^2 y \rangle$
$w_1(\tau)$	0	x

Persistent homology allows to estimate the *homology* of a space. However, over $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, homology may not be fine enough to distinguish between | non-homeomorphic spaces. | non-homotopy equivalent spaces.

homology groups over $\mathbb{Z}$

	 Torus	 Klein bottle
$H_i(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}), i \geq 0$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$, $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, 0, ...	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$, $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, 0, ...
$H_i(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}), i \geq 0$	$\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^2$, $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, 0, ...	$\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, 0, 0, ...
$H_i(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{Z}), i \geq 0$	$\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z}^2$, $\mathbb{Z}$, 0, ...	$\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, 0, 0, ...
$H^*(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}[x,y]/\langle x^2, y^2 \rangle$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}[x,y]/\langle x^3, y^2, x^2 y \rangle$
$w_1(\tau)$	0	x

Other topological invariants

Persistent homology allows to estimate the *homology* of a space. However, over $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, homology may not be fine enough to distinguish between | non-homeomorphic spaces.
| non-homotopy equivalent spaces.

cohomology algebra over $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$

	 Torus	 Klein bottle
$H_i(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}), i \geq 0$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$, $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, 0, ...	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$, $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, 0, ...
$H_i(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}), i \geq 0$	$\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^2$, $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, 0, ...	$\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, 0, 0, ...
$H_i(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{Z}), i \geq 0$	$\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z}^2$, $\mathbb{Z}$, 0, ...	$\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, 0, 0, ...
$H^*(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}[x, y]/\langle x^2, y^2 \rangle$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}[x, y]/\langle x^3, y^2, x^2 y \rangle$
$w_1(\tau)$	0	x

Other topological invariants

Persistent homology allows to estimate the *homology* of a space. However, over $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, homology may not be fine enough to distinguish between
| non-homeomorphic spaces.
| non-homotopy equivalent spaces.

first Stiefel-Whitney class of tangent bundle	 Torus	 Klein bottle
$H_i(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}), i \geq 0$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$, $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, 0, ...	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$, $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, 0, ...
$H_i(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}), i \geq 0$	$\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^2$, $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, 0, ...	$\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, 0, 0, ...
$H_i(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{Z}), i \geq 0$	$\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z}^2$, $\mathbb{Z}$, 0, ...	$\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, 0, 0, ...
$H^*(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}[x, y]/\langle x^2, y^2 \rangle$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}[x, y]/\langle x^3, y^2, x^2 y \rangle$
$w_1(\tau)$	0	x

Other topological invariants

Persistent homology allows to estimate the *homology* of a space. However, over $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, homology may not be fine enough to distinguish between non-homeomorphic spaces.
non-homotopy equivalent spaces.

first Stiefel-Whitney class of tangent bundle	 Torus	 Klein bottle
$w_1(\tau)$	0	x

Aim of this talk:
Building a persistent framework for Stiefel-Whitney classes, with consistency and stability inspired from persistent homology.

I - Stiefel-Whitney classes

II - Persistent Stiefel-Whitney classes

III - Algorithmic considerations

Vector bundles

Definition:
A vector bundle (of dimension d) over X is a surjection $\pi : E \to X$, with E a topological space, such that:

- the fibers $\pi^{-1}(\{x\}), x \in X$, are vector spaces of dimension d,
- π satisfies a local triviality condition.

Local triviality condition: for all $x \in X$, there exists a neigborhood $U \subset X$ and a homeomorphism $h\colon U \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \pi^{-1}(U)$ such that for all $y \in U$, $h(y, \cdot)$ is an isomorphism of vector spaces.

Normal bundle of the circle





Möbius strip (universal bundle)

Vector bundles

Definition:

A vector bundle (of dimension d) over X is a surjection $\pi : E \to X$, with E a topological space, such that:

- the fibers $\pi^{-1}(\{x\}), x \in X$, are vector spaces of dimension d,
- π satisfies a local triviality condition.

Local triviality condition: for all $x \in X$, there exists a neigborhood $U \subset X$ and a homeomorphism $h\colon U \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \pi^{-1}(U)$ such that for all $y \in U$, $h(y, \cdot)$ is an isomorphism of vector spaces.

Tangent bundle of sphere





Normal bundle of the torus

Stiefel-Whitney classes (axioms)

For every vector bundle $\pi\colon E \to X$, there exists a sequence of cohomology classes

$$w_0(\pi) \in H^0(X, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}),$$
$$w_1(\pi) \in H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}),$$
$$w_2(\pi) \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}),$$
$$w_3(\pi) \in H^3(X, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}),$$
$$\dots$$

that satisfy the following axioms:

- **Axiom 1:** $w_0(\pi)$ is equal to $1 \in H^0(X, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, and if π is of dimension d then $w_i(\pi) = 0$ for $i > d$.
- **Axiom 2:** if $f\colon \pi \to \rho$ is a bundle map, then $w_i(\pi) = f^*(w_i(\rho))$, where $f^*\colon H^*(X) \leftarrow H^*(Y)$ is the map induced in cohomology by f.
- **Axiom 3:** if π, ρ are vector bundles over the same base space X, then for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $w_k(\pi \oplus \rho) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} w_i(\pi) \smile w_{k-i}(\rho)$ (cup product).
- **Axiom 4:** $w_1(\gamma_1^1) \neq 0$, where γ_1^1 denotes the Möbius strip bundle over the circle.

Stiefel-Whitney classes (axioms)

For every vector bundle $\pi\colon E \to X$, there exists a sequence of cohomology classes

$$w_0(\pi) \in H^0(X, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}),$$
$$w_1(\pi) \in H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}),$$
$$w_2(\pi) \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}),$$
$$w_3(\pi) \in H^3(X, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}),$$
$$\ldots$$

Basic properties:

- If two bundles are isomorphic, then their Stiefel-Whitney classes are equal.
- If π admits a (nowhere vanishing) section, then $w_d(\pi) = 0$.
- If π admits k independent (nowhere vanishing) sections, then $w_d(\pi) = \ldots w_{d-k+1}(\pi) = 0$.

Topological information:

- If τ is the tangent bundle of a manifold $\mathcal{M}$, then $\mathcal{M}$ is orientable if and only if $w_1(\tau) = 0$.
- $\mathcal{M}$ admits a spin structure if and only if $w_1(\tau) = 0$ and $w_2(\tau) = 0$.

The Grassmann manifolds

Let $d, n \geq 1$.
The *Grassmannian* $\mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the set of d-dimensional linear subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^n$.
It can be endowed with a manifold structure, of dimension $d(n-d)$.



$\mathcal{G}_1(\mathbb{R}^2)$



$\mathcal{G}_1(\mathbb{R}^3) \simeq \mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{R}^3)$

A construction of $\mathcal{G}_1(\mathbb{R}^2)$:



The Grassmann manifolds

Let $d, n \geq 1$.
The *Grassmannian* $\mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the set of d-dimensional linear subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^n$.
It can be endowed with a manifold structure, of dimension $d(n-d)$.



$\mathcal{G}_1(\mathbb{R}^2)$



$\mathcal{G}_1(\mathbb{R}^3) \simeq \mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{R}^3)$

Let $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ denotes the space of sequences of real numbers that are zero from some point.
We can also define the *infinite Grassmannian* $\mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$.

The infinite Grassmannian has $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-cohomology

$$H^*(\mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^\infty)) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}[w_1, ..., w_d]$$

where w_i has degree i.

In particular, $H^*(\mathcal{G}_1(\mathbb{R}^\infty)) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}[w_1]$.

The Grassmann manifolds

Let $d, n \geq 1$.
The *Grassmannian* $\mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the set of d-dimensional linear subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^n$.
It can be endowed with a manifold structure, of dimension $d(n-d)$.



$\mathcal{G}_1(\mathbb{R}^2)$



$\mathcal{G}_1(\mathbb{R}^3) \simeq \mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{R}^3)$

Let $\mathrm{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the space of $n \times n$ matrices.
For every linear subspace $T \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, let p_T denotes the orthogonal projection matrix on T.

The application $T \in \mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^n) \longmapsto p_T \in \mathrm{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is an embedding.

Hence $\mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be seen as a submanifold of $\mathrm{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Vector bundles (2^{nd} definition)

Correspondence vector bundles / classifying maps:

Let X is a topological space. From any continuous map $\xi\colon X \to \mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we can build a d-dimensional vector bundle structure on X.

Conversely, for any vector bundle $\pi\colon E \to X$, there exists a corresponding map $\xi\colon X \to \mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$, called a *classifying map*.

Moreover, if X is compact, we can choose $\xi\colon X \to \mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m)$ for m large enough.



(Second) Definition:
A vector bundle over X is a continuous map $\xi\colon X \to \mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$ or $\xi : X \to \mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m)$.

Stiefel-Whitney classes (construction)

Let $\left| \begin{array}{l} \xi\colon X \to \mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^\infty) \text{ be a vector bundle, and} \\ \xi^*\colon H^*(X, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \leftarrow H^*(\mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^\infty), \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \text{ the map induced in cohomology.} \end{array} \right.$

Recall that $H^*(\mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^\infty)) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}[w_1, ..., w_d]$.

The Stiefel-Whitney classes of the vector bundle $\xi\colon X \to \mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$ can be defined as

$$w_0(\xi) = \xi^*(\omega_0)$$

$$w_1(\xi) = \xi^*(\omega_1)$$

$$w_2(\xi) = \xi^*(\omega_2)$$

$$\cdots$$



Stiefel-Whitney classes (construction)

Let $\xi\colon X \to \mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$ be a vector bundle, and
$\xi^*\colon H^*(X, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \leftarrow H^*(\mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^\infty), \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ the map induced in cohomology.

Recall that $H^*(\mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^\infty)) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}[w_1, ..., w_d]$.

The Stiefel-Whitney classes of the vector bundle $\xi\colon X \to \mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$ can be defined as

$$w_0(\xi) = \xi^*(\omega_0)$$

$$w_1(\xi) = \xi^*(\omega_1)$$

$$w_2(\xi) = \xi^*(\omega_2)$$

$$\dots$$



I - Stiefel-Whitney classes

II - Persistent Stiefel-Whitney classes

III - Algorithmic considerations

Adopting a persistent viewpoint

Sampling model for vector bundles:

Let $n, m, d > 0$.

We observe | a point cloud $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$
and a map $\xi \colon X \to \mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m)$.



Adopting a persistent viewpoint

Sampling model for vector bundles:

Let $n, m, d > 0$.
We observe | a point cloud $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$
and a map $\xi\colon X \to \mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m)$.



Defining a vector bundle filtration:

Let $(X^t)_{t\geq 0}$ be the Čech filtration of X.
We want to define maps $\xi^t\colon X^t \to \mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m)$.



Sampling model for vector bundles:

Let $n, m, d > 0$.

We observe | a point cloud $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$
and a map $\xi\colon X \to \mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m)$.



Defining a vector bundle filtration:

Let $(X^t)_{t\geq 0}$ be the Čech filtration of X.

We want to define maps $\xi^t\colon X^t \to \mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m)$.



Nothing interesting to do here...

A persistent viewpoint (2^{nd} attempt)

Sampling model for vector bundles:

Let $n, m, d > 0$.

We observe | a point cloud $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ **and** a map $\xi\colon X \to \mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m)$. $\Longleftrightarrow$ a point cloud $\check{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m)$.

$$\check{X} = \{(x, \xi(x)), x \in X\}$$

Sampling model for vector bundles:

Let $n, m, d > 0$.

We observe | a point cloud $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$
and a map $\xi\colon X \to \mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m)$. $\Longleftrightarrow$ a point cloud $\check{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m)$.

$$\check{X} = \{(x, \xi(x)), x \in X\}$$

- By embedding $\mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{M}(\mathbb{R}^m)$, we can see $\check{X}$ as a subset of $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathrm{M}(\mathbb{R}^m)$.
- Let $(\check{X}^t)_{t \geq 0}$ be the Čech filtration of $\check{X}$ in the ambient space $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathrm{M}(\mathbb{R}^m)$, endowed with the metric $\|(x, A)\| = \sqrt{\|x\|_2^2 + \|A\|_\mathrm{F}^2}$.
- We can define extended maps ξ^t as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^t\colon \quad \check{X}^t &\longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m) \\ (x, A) &\longmapsto \mathrm{proj}\left(A, \mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m)\right) \end{aligned}$$

A persistent viewpoint (2^{nd} attempt)

Sampling model for vector bundles:

Let $n, m, d > 0$.

We observe | a point cloud $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$
and a map $\xi \colon X \to \mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m)$. $\Longleftrightarrow$ a point cloud $\check{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m)$.

$$\check{X} = \{(x, \xi(x)), x \in X\}$$

- By embedding $\mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{M}(\mathbb{R}^m)$, we can see $\check{X}$ as a subset of $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathrm{M}(\mathbb{R}^m)$.
- Let $(\check{X}^t)_{t \geq 0}$ be the Čech filtration of $\check{X}$ in the ambient space $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathrm{M}(\mathbb{R}^m)$, endowed with the metric $\|(x, A)\| = \sqrt{\|x\|_2^2 + \|A\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2}$.
- We can define extended maps ξ^t as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^t \colon \quad \check{X}^t &\longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m) \\ (x, A) &\longmapsto \mathrm{proj}\left(A, \mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m)\right) \end{aligned}$$

Definition:
The data of $(\check{X}^t)_{t \geq 0}$ and $(\xi^t \colon \check{X}^t \to \mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m))_{t \geq 0}$ is called the *Čech bundle filtration* of $\check{X}$.

Persistent Stiefel-Whitney classes

Let
$\check{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m)$,
$(\check{X}^t)_t, (\xi^t)_t$ its Čech bundle filtration,
$i \geq 0$.

For every $t \geq 0$, we have the i^{th} Stiefel-Whitney class of $(\check{X}^t, \xi^t)$:

$$w_i(\xi^t) = (\xi^t)^*(w_i),$$

where $(\xi^t)^* \colon H^*(\check{X}^t) \leftarrow H^*(\mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m))$.

Definition:
The i^{th} *persistent Stiefel-Whitney class* of $\check{X}$ is the collection $(w_i(\xi^t))_{t\geq 0}$.

Persistent Stiefel-Whitney classes

Let $\check{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m)$,
$(\check{X}^t)_t, (\xi^t)_t$ its Čech bundle filtration,
$i \geq 0$.

For every $t \geq 0$, we have the i^{th} Stiefel-Whitney class of $(\check{X}^t, \xi^t)$:

$$w_i(\xi^t) = (\xi^t)^*(w_i),$$

where $(\xi^t)^* \colon H^*(\check{X}^t) \leftarrow H^*(\mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m))$.

Definition:
The i^{th} *persistent Stiefel-Whitney class* of $\check{X}$ is the collection $(w_i(\xi^t))_{t\geq 0}$.

Issue: ξ^t is not well-defined for every $t \geq 0$...

Maximal filtration value

The extended maps ξ^t are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^t \colon \quad \check{X}^t &\longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m) \\ (x, A) &\longmapsto \operatorname{proj}\left(A, \mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m)\right) \end{aligned}$$

But $\operatorname{proj}\left(A, \mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m)\right)$ does not make sense if A lies in the medial axis of $\mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m)$.

$\longrightarrow$ There exists a maximal value t^{max} such that for all $t \in [0, t^{\mathsf{max}})$, the maps ξ^t are well-defined.

Maximal filtration value

The extended maps ξ^t are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^t\colon \quad \check{X}^t &\longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m) \\ (x, A) &\longmapsto \operatorname{proj}\left(A, \mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m)\right) \end{aligned}$$

But $\operatorname{proj}\left(A, \mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m)\right)$ does not make sense if A lies in the medial axis of $\mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m)$.

⟶ There exists a maximal value t^{max} such that for all $t \in [0, t^{\text{max}})$, the maps ξ^t are well-defined.

Lemma

For any $A \in \mathrm{M}(\mathbb{R}^m)$, let A^s denote the matrix $A^s = \frac{1}{2}(A + {}^tA)$, and let $\lambda_1(A^s), \ldots, \lambda_n(A^s)$ be the eigenvalues of A^s in decreasing order.
The distance from A to $\operatorname{med}\left(\mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m)\right)$ is $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\left|\lambda_d(A^s) - \lambda_{d+1}(A^s)\right|$.

The persistent Stiefel-Whitney class $(w_i(\xi^t))_t$ is defined for every $t \in [0, t^{\text{max}})$.

Lifebar

Let $\check{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathrm{M}(\mathbb{R}^m)$, and $w_i(\check{X})$ its i^{th} persistent Stiefel-Whitney class.

Definition

The *lifebar* of the persistent Stiefel-Whitney class $w_i(\check{X})$ is the set

$$\left\{t \in [0, t^{\max}), w_i(\xi^t) \neq 0\right\}.$$

the lifebar is an interval!

Lifebar

Let $\check{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathrm{M}(\mathbb{R}^m)$, and $w_i(\check{X})$ its i^{th} persistent Stiefel-Whitney class.

Definition

The *lifebar* of the persistent Stiefel-Whitney class $w_i(\check{X})$ is the set

$$\left\{t \in [0, t^{\mathrm{max}}), w_i(\xi^t) \neq 0\right\}.$$



Example: lifebars of first persistent Stiefel-Whitney classes



Stability

Theorem

If two subsets $\check{X}, \check{Y} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathrm{M}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ satisfies $\mathrm{d_H}\left(\check{X}, \check{Y}\right) \leq \epsilon$, then for all $i \geq 0$, the lifebars of their i^{th} Stiefel-Whitney classes are ϵ-close.



Consistency

If $u\colon \mathcal{M}_0 \to \mathcal{M} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an immersion and $\xi\colon \mathcal{M}_0 \to \mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m)$ a vector bundle, consider the set

$$\check{\mathcal{M}} = \{(u(x_0), \xi(x_0)), x_0 \in \mathcal{M}_0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathrm{M}(\mathbb{R}^m).$$

Theorem

Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathrm{M}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ be any subset such that $\mathrm{d_H}\left(X, \check{\mathcal{M}}\right) \leq \epsilon$. Then for every $t \in [4\epsilon, \mathrm{reach}\left(\check{\mathcal{M}}\right) - 3\epsilon)$, the composition of inclusions $\mathcal{M}_0 \hookrightarrow \check{\mathcal{M}} \hookrightarrow X^t$ induces an isomorphism $H^*(\mathcal{M}_0) \leftarrow H^*(X^t)$ which sends the i^{th} persistent Stiefel-Whitney class $w_i^t(X)$ of the Čech bundle filtration of X to the i^{th} Stiefel-Whitney class of $(\mathcal{M}_0, p)$.

Normal bundle of the torus



Normal bundle of the Klein bottle



Consistency

If $u\colon \mathcal{M}_0 \to \mathcal{M} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an immersion and $\xi\colon \mathcal{M}_0 \to \mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m)$ a vector bundle, consider the set

$$\check{\mathcal{M}} = \{(u(x_0), \xi(x_0))\,, x_0 \in \mathcal{M}_0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathrm{M}(\mathbb{R}^m).$$

Theorem

Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathrm{M}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ be any subset such that $\mathrm{d_H}\left(X, \check{\mathcal{M}}\right) \leq \epsilon$. Then for every $t \in [4\epsilon, \mathrm{reach}\left(\check{\mathcal{M}}\right) - 3\epsilon)$, the composition of inclusions $\mathcal{M}_0 \hookrightarrow \check{\mathcal{M}} \hookrightarrow X^t$ induces an isomorphism $H^*(\mathcal{M}_0) \leftarrow H^*(X^t)$ which sends the i^{th} persistent Stiefel-Whitney class $w_i^t(X)$ of the Čech bundle filtration of X to the i^{th} Stiefel-Whitney class of $(\mathcal{M}_0, p)$.

Normal bundle of the torus



orientable

non-orientable

Normal bundle of the Klein bottle



I - Stiefel-Whitney classes

II - Persistent Stiefel-Whitney classes

III - Algorithmic considerations

Simplicial approximation

Let
$\check{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m)$ or $\check{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathrm{M}(\mathbb{R}^m)$,
$(\check{X}^t)_t, (\xi^t)_t$ its Čech bundle filtration,
$(w_i(\xi^t))_t$ its i^{th} persistent Stiefel-Whitney class.

$$
\begin{array}{rccc}
\xi^t\colon & \check{X}^t & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m) \\
(\xi^t)^*\colon & H^*(\check{X}^t) & \longleftarrow & H^*(\mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m)) \\
& w_i(\xi^t) & \longleftarrow\!\shortmid & w_i
\end{array}
$$

Problem:
Compute $w_i(\xi^t)$ on a computer.

Simplicial approximation

Let
- $\check{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m)$ or $\check{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathrm{M}(\mathbb{R}^m)$,
- $(\check{X}^t)_t, (\xi^t)_t$ its Čech bundle filtration,
- $(w_i(\xi^t))_t$ its i^{th} persistent Stiefel-Whitney class.

$$
\begin{array}{llcl}
\xi^t\colon & \check{X}^t & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m) \\
(\xi^t)^*\colon & H^*(\check{X}^t) & \longleftarrow & H^*(\mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m)) \\
 & w_i(\xi^t) & \longleftarrow\!\shortmid & w_i
\end{array}
$$

Problem:
Compute $w_i(\xi^t)$ on a computer.

Suppose that we have triangulations S^t of $\check{X}^t$ and G of $\mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m)$.

nerve of the union of balls ($\to S^t$)

see later ($\to G$)

Simplicial approximation

Let
- $\check{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m)$ or $\check{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathrm{M}(\mathbb{R}^m)$,
- $(\check{X}^t)_t, (\xi^t)_t$ its Čech bundle filtration,
- $(w_i(\xi^t))_t$ its i^{th} persistent Stiefel-Whitney class.

$$\begin{array}{rccc}
\xi^t\colon & \check{X}^t & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m) \\
(\xi^t)^*\colon & H^*(\check{X}^t) & \longleftarrow & H^*(\mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m)) \\
& w_i(\xi^t) & \longleftarrow\!\!\shortmid & w_i
\end{array}$$

Problem:
Compute $w_i(\xi^t)$ on a computer.

Suppose that we have triangulations S^t of $\check{X}^t$ and G of $\mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m)$.
Denote their topological realizations $|S^t|$ and $|G|$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\check{X}^t & \xrightarrow{\ \xi^t\ } & \mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m) & & \\
\wr\uparrow & & \wr\uparrow & & \\
|S^t| & \dashrightarrow & |G| & \qquad S^t & \overset{?}{\dashrightarrow} & G
\end{array}$$

We look for a simplicial map $p^t\colon S^t \to G$ that 'corresponds to' ξ^t.

Star condition



The map g satisfies the *star condition* if:

for every vertex $v \in S^t$, there exists a vertex $w \in G$ such that $g\left(\left|\overline{\mathrm{St}}(v)\right|\right) \subseteq |\mathrm{St}(w)|$.



Star condition





The map g satisfies the *star condition* if:

for every vertex $v \in S^t$, there exists a vertex $w \in G$ such that $g\left(\left|\overline{\mathrm{St}}(v)\right|\right) \subseteq |\mathrm{St}(w)|$.

If this is the case, let $f\colon S^t \to G$ be any map between vertex sets such that:

for every vertex $v \in S^t$, we have $g\left(\left|\overline{\mathrm{St}}(v)\right|\right) \subseteq |\mathrm{St}(f(v))|$.

Such a map f is called a *simplicial approximation* to g. It is a simplicial map.
Its topological realization $|f|$ is homotopic to g.



Star condition



The map g satisfies the *star condition* if:

for every vertex $v \in S^t$, there exists a vertex $w \in G$ such that $g\left(\left|\overline{\mathrm{St}}(v)\right|\right) \subseteq |\mathrm{St}(w)|$.

If this is the case, let $f\colon S^t \to G$ be any map between vertex sets such that:

for every vertex $v \in S^t$, we have $g\left(\left|\overline{\mathrm{St}}(v)\right|\right) \subseteq |\mathrm{St}(f(v))|$.

Such a map f is called a *simplicial approximation* to g. It is a simplicial map.
Its topological realization $|f|$ is homotopic to g.

Remark:
If g does not satisfy the star condition, we can apply barycentric subdivisions to S^t.



Weak star condition

In practice, we cannot check whether g satisfies the star condition...



$$g\left(\left|\overline{\mathrm{St}}(v)\right|\right) \subseteq |\mathrm{St}(w)|?$$

The map g satisfies the *weak star condition* if:

for every vertex $v \in S^t$, there exists a vertex $w \in G$ such that $g\left(\left|\mathrm{vertices}(\overline{\mathrm{St}}(v))\right|\right) \subseteq |\mathrm{St}(w)|$.



Weak star condition

In practice, we cannot check whether g satisfies the star condition...



$$g\left(\left|\overline{\mathrm{St}}(v)\right|\right) \subseteq |\mathrm{St}(w)|?$$

The map g satisfies the *weak star condition* if:

> for every vertex $v \in S^t$, there exists a vertex $w \in G$ such that $g\left(\left|\mathrm{vertices}(\overline{\mathrm{St}}(v))\right|\right) \subseteq |\mathrm{St}(w)|$.

If this is the case, let $f\colon S^t \to G$ be any map between vertex sets such that:

> for every vertex $v \in S^t$, we have $g\left(\left|\mathrm{vertices}(\overline{\mathrm{St}}(v))\right|\right) \subseteq |\mathrm{St}(f(v))|$.

Such a map f is called a *weak simplicial approximation* to g. It is a simplicial map.

Proposition:
If S^t is subdivised enough, then any weak simplicial approximation is a simplicial approximation.

Triangulations of the Grassmannian

The Grassmaniann $\mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has a well-known CW-complex structure.

However, I had some troubles finding explicit triangulations of $\mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

What is known: triangulations of $\mathcal{G}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the *projective spaces*.



Triangulations of the Grassmannian

The Grassmaniann $\mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has a well-known CW-complex structure.

However, I had some troubles finding explicit triangulations of $\mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

What is known: triangulations of $\mathcal{G}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the *projective spaces*.



In practice, we will only consider the case $d = 1$.

An algorithm for $w_1(\xi^t)$, t fixed

Consider the map $\xi^t\colon \check{X}^t \to \mathcal{G}_1(\mathbb{R}^m)$. We want to compute $w_1(\xi^t) = (\xi^t)^*(w_1)$.

Reminder: $H^1(\mathcal{G}_1(\mathbb{R}^m)) = \langle w_1 \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

We have to find the image of $(\xi^t)^*\colon H^1(X^t) \leftarrow H^1(\mathcal{G}_1(\mathbb{R}^m))$

- Compute a triangulation S^t of $\check{X}^t$
- Compute a triangulation G of $\mathcal{G}_1(\mathbb{R}^m)$
- Check whether ξ^t satisfies the weak star condition
- If not, subdivise barycentric
- Compute a weak simplicial approximation f to ξ^t
- Compute the induced map in simplicial cohomology $f^*\colon H^1(S^t) \leftarrow H^1(G)$

$\longrightarrow$ The image of f^* is $w_1(\xi^t)$
(seen in simplicial cohomology)

Computing the lifebar

Let | $\check{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m)$,
| $(\check{X}^t)_t, (\xi^t)_t$ its Čech bundle filtration,
| $(w_i(\xi^t))_t$ its i^{th} persistent Stiefel-Whitney class.

We have seen how to compute $w_1(\xi^t)$, t fixed.

Recall that the lifebar of $w_1(X)$ is the set

$$\left\{t < t_{\mathsf{max}}, w_1(\xi^t) \neq 0\right\}.$$

Three possibilities for computing the lifebar:

- Compute $w_1(\xi^t)$ for several values of t, and check whether $w_1(\xi^t) = 0$ (dichotomic search)
- Use the persistent image algorithm of [Cohen-Steiner, Edelsbrunner, Harer, Morozov]
- Use the formula on the next page

Computing the lifebar

Reminder: $H^1(\mathcal{G}_1(\mathbb{R}^m)) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.
We have to find the image of $(\xi^t)^*\colon H^1(\check{X}^t) \leftarrow H^1(\mathcal{G}_1(\mathbb{R}^m))$

Let $C(\xi^t)$ be the mapping cone of $\xi^t\colon \check{X}^t \to \mathcal{G}_1(\mathbb{R}^m)$.



We have a long exact sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow H^k(\check{X}^t) \longrightarrow H^{k+1}(C(\xi^t)) \longrightarrow H^{k+1}(\mathcal{G}_1(\mathbb{R}^m)) \longrightarrow H^{k+1}(\check{X}^t) \longrightarrow \dots$$

We deduce that

$$\mathrm{rank}((\xi^t)^*) = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} (-1)^k \Big(\dim H^k(\check{X}^t) - \dim H^{k+1}(C(\xi^t)) + \dim H^{k+1}(\mathcal{G}_1(\mathbb{R}^m)) \Big)$$

Computing the lifebar

Reminder: $H^1(\mathcal{G}_1(\mathbb{R}^m)) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.
We have to find the image of $(\xi^t)^* \colon H^1(\check{X}^t) \leftarrow H^1(\mathcal{G}_1(\mathbb{R}^m))$

Let $C(\xi^t)$ be the mapping cone of $\xi^t \colon \check{X}^t \to \mathcal{G}_1(\mathbb{R}^m)$.



We have a long exact sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow H^k(\check{X}^t) \longrightarrow H^{k+1}(C(\xi^t)) \longrightarrow H^{k+1}(\mathcal{G}_1(\mathbb{R}^m)) \longrightarrow H^{k+1}(\check{X}^t) \longrightarrow \dots$$

We deduce that

$$\mathrm{rank}((\xi^t)^*) = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} (-1)^k \Big(\dim H^k(\check{X}^t) - \dim H^{k+1}(C(\xi^t)) + \dim H^{k+1}(\mathcal{G}_1(\mathbb{R}^m)) \Big)$$

⟶ Can be computed with the persistence algorithm

Conclusion

- We defined persistent Stiefel-Whitney classes,
- Proved stability and consistency results,
- Proposed an algorithm when $d = 1$.

Perspectives:

- Ideas could be extended to other characteristic classes (Euler, Chern, Pontrjagin).
- Need for a triangulation of $\mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m)$.

Conclusion

- We defined persistent Stiefel-Whitney classes,
- Proved stability and consistency results,
- Proposed an algorithm when $d = 1$.

Perspectives:

- Ideas could be extended to other characteristic classes (Euler, Chern, Pontrjagin).
- Need for a triangulation of $\mathcal{G}_d(\mathbb{R}^m)$.

Thank you!